arXiv:1608.02604v2 [math.MG] 9 Jan 2017

Conical 3-uniform measures: characterization & new examples

A. Dali Nimer

Abstract

Uniform measures have played a fundamental role in geometric measure theory since they naturally appear as tangent objects. They were first studied in the groundbreaking work of Preiss where he proved that a Radon measure is n-rectifiable if and only if the n-density at almost every point of its support is positive and finite (see [P]). However, very little is understood about them: for instance the only known n-uniform measures not supported on an affine n-plane were constructed by Kowalski and Preiss in 1987.

In this paper, we characterize 3-uniform measures with dilation invariant support and we construct an infinite family of 3-uniform measures all distinct and non-isometric, one of which is the Kowalski-Preiss cone.

More precisely, we prove that the support of a 3-uniform conical measure is a finite union of cones over 2-spheres. In $\mathbb{R}^5$, there is only one example of conical 3-uniform measure beside the 3-plane and the Kowalski-Preiss cone. The problem of constructing new 3-uniform measures is then reduced to that of constructing certain graphs with conditions on their Laplacian matrix.

1 Introduction

Uniform measures were first studied in Preiss' proof of the rectifiability of measures in Euclidean space. They have played a fundamental role in geometric measure theory ever since as they naturally appear as tangent measures. In layman's terms, a tangent measure at a point is a zoom in (or zoom out if the point in question is infinity) on the measure near that point. At almost every point of positive and finite n-density in the support of a Radon measure, the tangent measures are n-uniform. A geometric understanding of n-uniform measure is thus crucial in describing the infinitesimal and asymptotic geometry of a large class of measures.

Very little is known about n-uniform measures. For instance it is a known result of Preiss that such a measure is either flat or "very far from flat". However, there is essentially one known example (constructed by Kowalski and Preiss) of an n-uniform measure that is far from flat.

In this paper, we provide a characterization of 3-uniform conical measures in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and describe an infinite family of non-isometric 3-uniform measures. We start by introducing some definitions in order to give precise statements of our results. We say a Radon measure μ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is uniformly distributed if there exists a real-valued function ϕ so that for every $x \in supp(\mu)$, and every $r > 0$

$$\mu(B(x,r)) = \phi(r).$$

If there exists $c > 0$ so that

$$\phi(r) = cr^n,$$

The author was partially supported by NSF RTG 0838212, DMS-1361823 and DMS-0856687

Department of Mathematics, University of Washington, Box 354350, Seattle, WA 98195-435.
E-mail address: nimer@uw.edu

Mathematics Subject Classification Primary 28A33, 49Q15

we call μ an n-uniform measure. Some obvious examples of n-uniform measures are n-flat measures, i.e. n-Hausdorff measure restricted to an affine n-plane. Indeed, if V is an affine n-plane then for all $x \in V$ and $r > 0$, we have:

$$\mathcal{H}^n(B(x,r) \cap V) = \omega_n r^n,$$

where ω_n denotes the volume of the n-dimensional unit ball. In fact, Preiss proved in [P] that for $n = 1, 2$, the only n-uniform measures in $\mathbb{R}^d$ are the n-flat ones.

In [KoP], Kowalski and Preiss constructed an example of a non-flat n-uniform measure in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. They proved that in codimension 1, this measure and flat measures are the only examples of n-uniform measures.

Theorem 1.1. *[KoP] Let C be the cone in $\mathbb{R}^4$ defined by:*

$$C = \left\{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{R}^4; {x_4}^2 = {x_1}^2 + {x_2}^2 + {x_3}^2\right\}. \tag{1.1}$$

Then :

- *$\mathcal{H}^3 \llcorner C$ is 3-uniform and for all $x \in C$, for all $r > 0$,*

$$\mathcal{H}^3(B(x,r) \cap C) = \frac{4}{3}\pi r^3. \tag{1.2}$$

- *If μ is an n-uniform measure in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, then either μ is n-flat or, up to isometry, we have:*

$$\mu = c\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \left(C \times \mathbb{R}^{n-3}\right). \tag{1.3}$$

In higher codimension, there is no such classification result. However, in [KiP], Kirchheim and Preiss proved that the support of an n-uniform measure in any codimension is an analytic variety.

Theorem 1.2. *(1.4,[KiP]) Let μ be a uniformly distributed measure over $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then $supp(\mu)$ is an analytic variety and there exists an integer $n \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$, a constant $c \in (0, \infty)$ and an open subset G of $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that:*

1. *$G \cap supp(\mu)$ is an n-dimensional analytic submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^d$.*
2. *$\mathbb{R}^d \backslash G$ it the union of countably many analytic submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^d$ of dimensions less than n and $\mu(\mathbb{R}^d \backslash G) = \mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{R}^d \backslash G) = 0$,*
3. *$\mu(A) = c\mathcal{H}^n(A \cap G \cap supp(\mu)) = c\mathcal{H}^n(A \cap supp(\mu))$ for every subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.*

We denote $G \cap supp(\mu)$ by $\mathcal{R}$ and $\operatorname{supp}(\mu) \backslash G$ by $\mathcal{S}$ and write:

$$supp(\mu) = \mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{S}.$$

The only other information on n-uniform measures that appeared since was proved by X. Tolsa. In [T], he proved that n-uniform measures are uniformly rectifiable, a quantified notion of rectifiability.

In this paper, we show that there are other non-isometric examples of 3-uniform measures in any co-dimension: more specifically, we construct a family of 3-uniform measures of which $\mathcal{H}^3 \llcorner C$ is a particular example. Moreover, we give a geometric and graph-theoretic characterization of conical 3-uniform measures.

Section 2 consists of preliminaries. It is divided into two subsections, one dealing with results of a geometric and analytic nature and the other with results from discrete mathematics.

We call an n-uniform measure ν conical if for every $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, for every $r > 0$, it satisfies

$$\nu(rA) = r^n \nu(A). \tag{1.4}$$

Conical measures are of particular interest as they appear as tangent measures of n-uniform measures. One interesting feature of a 3-uniform conical measure is that its spherical component σ is a locally 2-uniform measure. We use this to get a better description of σ. Theorem 1.2 says that almost every point of the support of an n-uniform measure is smooth. With this in mind, in [KoP], Kowalski and Preiss start by considering a locally n-uniform measure with smooth support M. Fixing a point x in its support and using the area formula, they write a Taylor expansion for the measure of $B(x, r)$, in terms of r. By equating this expansion with $\omega_n r^n$, they are then able to obtain equations on the curvature of M and its derivatives. In particular they prove that in the case where $n = 2$, the ambient space is $\mathbb{R}^3$, and the manifold M is connected, M has to be a piece of a 2-plane or of a 2-sphere. Thus looking at configurations of 2-spheres seems like the natural approach to the problem of describing locally 2-uniform measures. In Section 3, we carry out a similar argument on σ, the spherical component of ν, where the ambient space is $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d > 3$, to deduce that it is an umbilic manifold. More precisely, we prove that its support is a finite union of disjoint 2-spheres (see Theorem 3.1).

In Section 4, we study the configuration of these spheres. Indeed, the fact that σ is locally 2-uniform implies a certain rigidity. In Theorem 4.5, we find a sufficient condition for a configuration of 2-spheres in $\mathbb{R}^d$ to be the support of a locally 2-uniform measure. They must have the same radius and be contained in translations of the same linear 3-plane. Moreover, their centers have to be in a specific position: we say they are r-layered (see Definition 4.4). In Theorem 4.6, we show that when $d = 5$, the only possible conical 3-uniform measure which is neither flat nor the cone from (1.1) is given, up to isometry and normalization, by the following equation:

$$\nu = \mathcal{H}^3 \llcorner (C_1 \cup C_2), \tag{1.5}$$

where

$$C_1 = \{x \,;\, x_4 = 0\} \bigcap \left\{x \,;\, 3\left({x_1}^2 + {x_2}^2 + {x_3}^2\right) = {x_5}^2\right\}, \tag{1.6}$$

and

$$C_2 = \left\{x \,;\, x_4 = 2\sqrt{2}x_5\right\} \bigcap \left\{x \,;\, 3\left({x_1}^2 + {x_2}^2 + {x_3}^2\right) = {x_4}^2 + {x_5}^2\right\}. \tag{1.7}$$

We now know that to produce a conical 3− uniform measure, we only need to construct a set of r-layered points which will be the centers of its spherical component's 2-spheres. This condition of being r-layered is the natural geometric condition to consider but it is difficult to work with. Our aim is to find a systematic or algorithmic way of constructing such a set of points. In other words, if we are given an even number $2m$, we want to be able to find the coordinates of all possible well r-layered points in some $\mathbb{R}^d$.

In Section 5, we invoke graph theory to do such a construction. We construct a graph associated to a configuration of r-layered points and in Lemma 5.4, we translate the existence of such a configuration in Euclidean space to a necessary and sufficient condition on the graph. The advantage of this condition is that it is computable, expressed as a bound on the eigenvalues of the Laplacian matrix associated to the graph. We finally prove Theorem 5.6 where we describe how to find the coordinates of those centers in the corresponding ambient space and the rank of the linear space generated by the centers. We also show that the number of centers is divisible by 4 .

Finally, in Section 6, we explicitly construct an infinite family of non-isometric 3-uniform measures in Euclidean spaces of different dimensions. To do that, we first construct rectangular parallelotopes whose vertices are r-layered (see Lemma 6.1). Using this construction, we produce a family

of 3-uniform measures (in Theorem 6.2). These configurations of points are highly symmetric. To illustrate the fact that this need not be true in general, we construct an example with much less symmetry.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Geometry and Analysis Preliminaries

Let μ be a measure in $\mathbb{R}^d$. We define the support of μ to be

$$\text{supp}(\mu) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d; \mu(B(x,r)) > 0, \text{ for all } r > 0 \right\}. \tag{2.1}$$

Note that the support of a measure is a closed subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Definition 2.1. *Let μ be a Radon measure in $\mathbb{R}^d$.*

- *We say μ is uniformly distributed if there exists a positive function $\phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that:*

  $$\mu(B(x,r)) = \phi(r), \text{ for all } x \in \Sigma, r > 0.$$

  We call ϕ the distribution function of μ.

- *If there exists $c > 0$ such that $\phi(r) = cr^n$, we say μ is n-uniform.*

- *If μ is an n-uniform measure such that $T_{0,r}[\mu] = r^n\mu$ for all $r > 0$, we call it a conical n-uniform measure, where $T_{0,r}[\mu]$ is the push-forward of μ by the dilation*

  $$T_{0.r}(y) = \frac{y}{r}.$$

We will use this result throughout the paper: it says that for an n-uniform measure, the support and the measure can be essentially identified.

Theorem 2.2. *[KoP] Let μ be an n-uniform measure in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $\Sigma = supp(\mu)$ and let $c > 0$ be such that for $x \in \Sigma$, $r > 0$*

$$\mu(B(x,r)) = cr^n. \tag{2.2}$$

Then Σ is n-rectifiable and

$$\mu = c\omega_n^{-1}\mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \Sigma. \tag{2.3}$$

We will use the area formula in this paper. It is an analog of the change of variable formula for Hausdorff measure.

Theorem 2.3. *[Si][The area formula] Let $f : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be a 1-1 C^1 function where $m < d$. Then, for any Borel set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$,we have:*

$$\int_A Jf(x) d\mathcal{L}^m(x) = \mathcal{H}^m(f(A)) \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$Jf(x) = \sqrt{det((df(x))^* \circ df(x))}, \tag{2.5}$$

and $(df(x))^*$ is the adjoint of $df(x)$.

We now state two theorems which will be crucial to the description of the geometry of the spherical components. In [KoP], Kowalski and Preiss proved that the curvature of a manifold whose surface measure is locally n-uniform must satisfy the following equation.

Theorem 2.4. *[KoP] If a hypersurface $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ of class C^5 is such that for all $x \in M$, there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that for all $r < r_0$,*

$$\mathcal{H}^n(B(x,r) \cap M) = \omega_n r^n, \tag{2.6}$$

then we have along M:

$$h^2 = 2||\overrightarrow{h}||^2 = 2\tau,$$

where $\overrightarrow{h}$ denotes the second fundamental form, h the trace of $\overrightarrow{h}$, τ the scalar curvature and $||.||$ the norm of a tensor with respect to the Riemannian inner product.

When $n = 2$, this theorem essentially says that all points of the manifold are umbilic. The following is a classical geometry theorem describing umbilic manifolds.

Theorem 2.5. *[Sp] For $n \geq 2$, let $M^n \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a connected immersed submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with all points umbilics. Then either M lies in some n-dimensional plane or else M lies in some n-dimensional sphere in some $(n+1)$-dimensional plane.*

In [KiP], Kirchheim and Preiss proved that the support of a uniformly distributed measure is an analytic variety. We need the following theorem by Lojasiewicz to describe the geometry of an analytic variety.

Theorem 2.6. *[L] Let $\Phi(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ be a real analytic function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ in a neighborhood of the origin. We may assume $\Phi(0, \ldots, 0, x_d) \neq 0$. After a rotation of the coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1})$, one has that there exist numbers $\delta_j > 0$, $j = 1, \ldots, d$ such that the set Z defined as :*

$$Z = \{x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d) : \ |x_j| < \delta_j, \ \textit{for all } j \ \textit{and } \Phi(x) = 0\},$$

has a decomposition

$$Z = V^{d-1} \cup \ldots \cup V^0. \tag{2.7}$$

The set V^0 is either empty or consists of the origin alone. For $1 \leq k \leq d-1$, we may write V^k as a finite, disjoint union of analytic k-submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Moreover, Z is stratified in the following sense: for each k, the closure of V^k contains all the subsequent V_j's, i.e. defining Q to be

$$Q = \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d; \ |x_j| < \delta_j, \ \textit{for all } j\right\},$$

we have:

$$V^0 \cup \ldots \cup V^{k-1} \subset \overline{Q \cap V^k}. \tag{2.8}$$

The following results about conical n-uniform measures will also be essential in the proofs of the main results. We start with a definition.

Definition 2.7. *Let ν be a conical n-uniform measure in $\mathbb{R}^d$, with 0 in its support, Σ its support. We define σ the spherical component of ν, to be:*

$$\sigma = \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \llcorner (\Sigma \cap S^{d-1}),$$

where $S^{d-1} = \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d; |x| = 1\right\}$.

We have a polar decomposition for conical n-uniform measures.

Theorem 2.8. *[N] Let ν be a conical n-uniform measure in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let g be a Borel function on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then:*

$$\int g(x)d\nu(x) = \int_0^\infty \rho^{n-1} \int g(\rho x')d\sigma(x')d\rho, \tag{2.9}$$

where $\rho = |x|$ and $x' = \frac{x}{|x|}$.

The following results state that the spherical component of a conical n-uniform measure is uniformly distributed and give an expression for its distribution function ϕ when $n = 3$.

Theorem 2.9. *[N] Let ν be a conical n-uniform measure in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then σ the spherical component of ν is a uniformly distributed measure.*

Corollary 2.10. *[N] Suppose ν a 3-uniform conical measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let σ be its spherical component, and denote the support of σ by Ω. Then there exists a function $\phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that, for all $x \in \Omega$, for all $r > 0$:*

$$\sigma(B(x, r)) = \phi(r). \tag{2.10}$$

Moreover,

$$\phi(r) = \pi r^2 \chi_{(0,2)}(r) + 4\pi\chi_{2,\infty}(r). \tag{2.11}$$

The following corollaries are two consequences of Corollary 2.10.

Corollary 2.11. *Let ν be a conical n-uniform measure in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and Σ its support. Then Σ is an algebraic variety and*

$$\Sigma = -\Sigma. \tag{2.12}$$

Corollary 2.10 says that the spherical component of a conical 3-uniform measure is locally 2-uniform. The following proves the converse: if Ω is a subset of $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ such that$\mathcal{H}^2 \llcorner \Omega$ is locally 2-uniform, and Σ is the cone over Ω then $\mathcal{H}^3 \llcorner \Sigma$ is 3-uniform.

Lemma 2.12. *Let Ω be a set in $\mathbb{R}^d$ contained in $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, $\sigma = \mathcal{H}^2 \llcorner \Omega$ and assume that σ satisfies the property that for all $x \in \Omega$, for $r \leq 2$,*

$$\sigma(B(x, r)) = \pi r^2. \tag{2.13}$$

Define Σ to be:

$$\Sigma = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d; \frac{x}{|x|} \in \Omega \right\} \cup \{0\}, \tag{2.14}$$

and ν to be $\mathcal{H}^3 \llcorner \Sigma$.

Then for all $x \in \Sigma$, for $r > 0$, we have:

$$\nu(B(x, r)) = \frac{4}{3}\pi r^3. \tag{2.15}$$

In particular, ν is 3-uniform.

Proof. We prove that $\nu(B(e, r)) = \frac{4}{3}\pi r^3$, for $e \in \Omega$, $r > 0$. The theorem then follows for any $x \in \Sigma$. Indeed, if $x \in \Sigma$, $x \neq 0$ then $e = \frac{x}{|x|} \in \Omega$. Moreover, by the definition of Σ we have $\frac{\Sigma}{u} = \Sigma$ for any $u > 0$. This gives:

$$\mathcal{H}^3(B(x, r) \cap \Sigma) = \mathcal{H}^3\left(|x|\left(B\left(e, \frac{r}{|x|}\right) \cap \frac{\Sigma}{|x|}\right)\right) = |x|^3\mathcal{H}^3\left(B\left(e, \frac{r}{|x|}\right) \cap \Sigma\right) = \frac{4}{3}\pi r^3.$$

On the other hand, let $x_i = \frac{e}{i}$ for some $e \in \Omega$ and let $r > 0$. Then since $\chi_{B(x_i,r)}(z) \to \chi_{B(0,r)}(z)$, for ν-almost every z, we get:

$$\frac{4}{3}\pi r^3 = \lim_{i\to\infty} \nu(B(x_i, r)) = \nu(B(0, r)).$$

Let us now prove the theorem for $e \in \Omega$. Let $r > 0$ and $g(z) = \chi_{B(e,r)}(z)$. Then, by Lemma 2.8,

$$\nu(B(e,r)) = \int_0^\infty \rho^2 \int g(\rho z')d\sigma(z')d\rho, = \int_0^\infty \rho^2 \int \chi_{B(\frac{e}{\rho},\frac{r}{\rho})}(z')d\rho = \int_0^\infty \sigma\left(B\left(\frac{e}{\rho}, \frac{r}{\rho}\right)\right) d\rho.$$

Let us compute $\sigma(B(\frac{e}{\rho}, \frac{r}{\rho}))$.

We first express $B(\frac{e}{\rho}, \frac{r}{\rho}) \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ as a ball centered on e. Let $z \in B(\frac{e}{\rho}, \frac{r}{\rho}) \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Then an easy calculation gives

$$\left|z - \frac{e}{\rho}\right|^2 \leq \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^2 \iff |z - e| \leq \sqrt{\frac{r^2 - (\rho - 1)^2}{\rho}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\sigma\left(B\left(\frac{e}{\rho}, \frac{r}{\rho}\right)\right) = \sigma\left(B\left(e, \sqrt{\frac{r^2 - (\rho - 1)^2}{\rho}}\right)\right) = \pi.\frac{r^2 - (\rho - 1)^2}{\rho}.$$

We now compute $\nu(B(e, r))$. To this effect, we need to consider two cases: when $r \leq 1$ and $r \geq 1$.

If $r \leq 1$, by (2.8),

$$\nu(B(e,r)) = \int_{1-r}^{1+r} \rho^2 \sigma\left(B\left(\frac{e}{\rho}, \frac{r}{\rho}\right)\right) d\rho = \pi \int_{1-r}^{1+r} \rho(r^2 - (\rho - 1)^2)d\rho, = \frac{4}{3}\pi r^3.$$

In the case where $r \geq 1$, notice that when $\rho \leq r - 1$, $\partial B_\rho \subset B(e, r)$, and when $\rho > r + 1$, $\partial B_\rho \cap B(e, r) = \emptyset$. Therefore, we can write:

$$\nu(B(e,r)) = 4\pi \int_0^{r-1} \rho^2 d\rho + \int_{r-1}^{r+1} \left(\rho(r^2 - 1) - 2\rho^2 + 2\rho^3\right) d\rho = \frac{4}{3}\pi r^3.$$

Note that when $\rho \in [r - 1, r + 1]$, $\frac{r^2-(\rho-1)^2}{\rho} \leq 4$, justifying the fact that:

$$\sigma\left(B\left(e, \sqrt{\frac{r^2 - (\rho - 1)^2}{\rho}}\right)\right) = \pi\frac{r^2 - (\rho - 1)^2}{\rho}.$$

□

We also state a theorem due to Archimedes: it says that the surface measure of a 2-sphere is the support of a locally 2-uniform measure. We provide a proof using the area formula.

Lemma 2.13 (Archimedes)**.** *Let S be a sphere of radius R in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Then for all $u \in S$, for all $\rho \leq 2R$, we have:*

$$\mathcal{H}^2(B(u, \rho) \cap S) = \pi\rho^2. \tag{2.16}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, Hausdorff measure being invariant under isometries and under dilation up to appropriate normalization, we can assume that $S = \mathbb{S}^2$ and $u = (0, 0, 1)$.

We claim that for $e = (0, 0, 1)$ and $r \leq 2$,

$$\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{S}^2 \cap B(e, r)) = \pi r^2. \tag{2.17}$$

First, note that $\partial B(e,r) \cap S^2 = \{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^3; x^2+y^2+z^2 = 1, x^2+y^2+(z-1)^2 = r^2\}$. If $r < \sqrt{2}$, $B(e,r) \cap S^2$ is the portion of the graph of $f(x,y) = \sqrt{1-(x^2+y^2)}$ above $z = 1 - \frac{r^2}{2}$. So we have, by the area formula:

$$\mathcal{H}^2(B(e,r) \cap S^2) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\sqrt{1-(1-\frac{r^2}{2})^2}} \sqrt{1+|\nabla f|^2} \rho d\rho d\theta = \pi r^2.$$

If $\sqrt{2} < r < 2$, $B(e,r)$ and $B(0,1)$ intersect in $z = 1 - \frac{r^2}{2}$. Moreover, note that the part of S^2 below the plane $z = 1 - \frac{r^2}{2}$ is $B(-e,r')$, where, by applications of Pythagoras' theorem, we have $r'^2 = 4 - r^2$ Therefore, by symmetry (since $r' < \sqrt{2}$), we have:

$$\mathcal{H}^2(B(e,r) \cap S^2) = \mathcal{H}^2(S^2) - \mathcal{H}^2(B(-e,r') \cap S^2) = \pi r^2.$$

This proves (2.17).

Therefore, since $\rho \leq 2R$, we have:

$$\mathcal{H}^2\left(S \cap B(u,\rho)\right) = \mathcal{H}^2\left(R\left(\mathbb{S}^2 \cap B\left(e, \frac{\rho}{R}\right)\right)\right) = R^2\pi\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^2 = \pi\rho^2.$$

□

2.2 Discrete Mathematics Preliminaries

In Section 4, we need to understand what conditions on a set of distances guarantees their embeddability in Euclidean space. To this end, we use a theorem of embeddability from [B].

Definition 2.14. *Let X be a set. We call X a distance space if there exists a distance function $d_X : X \times X \to Y$, where Y is called the distance set. Typically Y will be taken to be $\mathbb{R}_+$.*

We call a distance space (X, d_X) semimetric if d_X has co-domain $\mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$ and if d_X satisfies for all $p, q \in X$:

- $d_X(p,q) = 0 \iff p = q$,
- $d_X(p,q) = d_X(q,p)$.

We remind the reader of the geodesic distance of two points on a sphere.

Definition 2.15. *For two points $x, y \in t\mathbb{S}^m \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$, for some $t > 0$, we define the distance $|\,.\,|_{t\mathbb{S}^m}$ to be:*

$$|x-y|_{t\mathbb{S}^m} = t.\arccos\left(\frac{\langle x,y\rangle}{t^2}\right), \tag{2.18}$$

where $\langle , \rangle$ is the Euclidean inner product.

Theorem 2.16. *[B] Let $X = \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$ be a semimetric space, $t > 0$ and define the $n \times n$ matrix Δ to be:*

$$\Delta = \left(\cos\left(\frac{d_X(p_i,p_j)}{t}\right)\right)_{i,j}. \tag{2.19}$$

Then there exist points $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ in $t\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ such that:

$$|\xi_i - \xi_j|_{t\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} = d_X(p_i,p_j) \tag{2.20}$$

if and only if $d_X(p_i,p_j) \leq \pi t$, the matrix Δ has rank at most n and all its principal minors are non-negative (or equivalently Δ is positive semidefinite).

An application of this theorem leads to a characterization of a measure ν by a graph associated to it. We give some basic notions of graph theory.

Definition 2.17. *A graph G consists of:*

- *A set of vertices $V(G) = \{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$,*
- *A set of edges $E(G) = \{\{v_i v_j\}\}_{i,j\in J}$ for J a subset of the set of subsets of cardinality two of $\{1, \dots, n\}$.*

Definition 2.18. *1. We call two edges having a vertex in common adjacent. We say two vertices u and v are adjacent and denote $u \sim v$ if $\{u, v\} \in E(G)$.*

2. A weighted graph is a graph to which we associate a weight function $w : E(G) \to \mathbb{R}_+$.

3. The degree $d(v)$ of a vertex v is defined as $d(v) = \sum_{u\sim v} w(\{u, v\})$.

4. A k-edge coloring of G is a function $c : E(G) \to \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $c(e) \neq c(f)$ if e is adjacent to f

Example. An example of a graph which will be used in Section 4 is the complete graph K_n. This graph has n vertices $V(G) = \{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and its edges are all the subsets of $V(G)$ of cardinality 2 i.e. $E(G) = \{\{v_i v_j\}\}_{1\leq i<j\leq n}$.

To each graph are associated two matrices that encode information about its structure: the adjacency matrix and the Laplacian matrix.

Definition 2.19. *Let G be a weighted graph.*

1. The adjacency matrix $A = (A_{ij})_{i,j}$ of G is defined as:

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0, \text{ if } i = j, \text{ or } \{v_i, v_j\} \notin E(G) \\ w(\{v_i, v_j\}), \text{ if } i \neq j, \{v_i, v_j\} \in E(G). \end{cases} \tag{2.21}$$

2. The degree matrix D of G is the diagonal matrix with entries:

$$D_{ii} = d(v_i). \tag{2.22}$$

3. The Laplacian $L = (L_{ij})_{i,j}$ of G is defined as

$$L = D - A, \tag{2.23}$$

where D is the degree matrix. Its second smallest eigenvalue λ_G is called the spectral gap of L.

4. The normalized Laplacian matrix L_{nor} is defined as

$$L_{nor} = D^{-\frac{1}{2}} L D^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

i.e

$$(L_{nor})_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j, \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{d(v_i)d(v_j)}}, & \text{if } i \neq j, \{v_i, v_j\} \in E(G), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.24}$$

The spectral gap of the Laplacian a graph encodes information about the connectedness of a graph. One can interpret it as a quantified version of connectivity in view of the following proposition.

Proposition 2.20. *[C] Let G be a weighted graph on n vertices and L its Laplacian. Denote by $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_n$ the eigenvalues of L.*

Then $\lambda_1 = 0$ with eigenvector $e = (1, \ldots, 1)$ and

$$\lambda_2 = 0 \implies G \text{ is disconnected.}$$

We call $\lambda_2 =: \lambda_G$ the spectral gap of L.

3 The Spherical Component is a Union of 2-Spheres

We now study the geometry of the support of the spherical component σ of the 3-uniform measure ν.

Our aim is to prove that Ω is a finite union of disjoint 2-spheres.

Theorem 3.1. *Let ν be a conical 3-uniform measure in $\mathbb{R}^d$, σ its spherical component and Ω the support of σ. Then*

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^{M} S_i, \tag{3.1}$$

where the S_i's are mutually disjoint 2-spheres.

We start by proving the following intermediate lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let μ be a 3-uniform measure in $\mathbb{R}^d$, σ its spherical component and $supp(\sigma) = \Omega$. Then:*

$$\mathcal{R} \subset \bigcup_{\alpha} S_\alpha,$$

where the S_α's are 2-spheres and $\mathcal{R}$ is the regular part of Ω as defined in Theorem 1.2.

We divide the proof of this lemma into claims which will be proven separately. The setting of the claims is the following: we pick $Q \in \mathcal{R}$. Without loss of generality, by rotating and translating Ω, we can assume that $Q = 0$ and $\Omega \subset \partial B(-p, 0)$ where $p = (0, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$. We can choose a basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ of $P = T_0\Omega$ satisfying the following: in a neighborhood U of 0, writing $\overline{x}$ the projection of x on P, there exist $d-2$ real analytic functions z_i of $\overline{x}$ so that

$$\Omega \cap U = \left\{ \overline{x} + \sum_{i=3}^{d} z_i(\overline{x}) e_i ; \overline{x} \in P \cap U \right\}, \tag{3.2}$$

and such that $z_i(0) = 0$, $\nabla z_i(0) = 0$ for all i and $\nabla^2 z_4(0) = diag(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$.

Claim 1.

$$\nabla^2 z_3(0) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. Indeed since $\Omega \subset \mathbb{S}^{d-1} - p$,

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + (z_3 + 1)^2 + z_4^2 + \ldots + z_d^2 = 1. \tag{3.3}$$

Differentiating (3.3) with respect to x_1 then x_2, and plugging in $z_i(0) = 0$ and $\nabla z_i(0) = 0$, we get:

$$\partial_2 \partial_1 z_3(0)(z_3(0) + 1) = 0, \tag{3.4}$$

and hence $\partial_2 \partial_1 z_3(0) = \partial_1 \partial_2 z_3(0) = 0$. Differentiating (3.3) twice with respect to x_1 and plugging in $z_i(0) = 0$ and $\nabla z_i(0) = 0$, we get:

$$1 + (z_3(0) + 1)\partial_1 \partial_1 z_3(0) = 0 \tag{3.5}$$

and hence $\partial_1 \partial_1 z_3(0) = -1$. Similarly, we get $\partial_2 \partial_2 z_3(0) = -1$. □

We now write for every $j \geq 5$

$$\nabla^2 z_j(0) = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{1,j} & m_j \\ m_j & \mu_{2,j} \end{bmatrix} \tag{3.6}$$

Denoting by $\rho = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}$, we can write the following Taylor expansions for the z_j's:

$$z_3 = -\frac{1}{2}\rho^2 + O(\rho^3), \tag{3.7}$$

$$z_4 = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 x_1^2 + \lambda_2 x_2^2) + O(\rho^3), , \tag{3.8}$$

$$z_j = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_{1,j} x_1^2 + \mu_{2,j} x_2^2 + 2m_j x_1 x_2) + O(\rho^3). \tag{3.9}$$

We will first use the area formula to write a Taylor expansion for $\mathcal{H}^2(B(0,r) \cap \Omega)$ for r small in terms of the λ_j's , $\mu_{i,j}$'s and m_j's. We then use the fact that $\mathcal{H}^2 \llcorner \Omega$ is locally 2-uniform to establish relations between the λ_j's, $\mu_{i,j}$'s and m_j's. We start by writing the integrand $\mathcal{D}$ appearing in the area formula in terms of the the λ_j's , $\mu_{i,j}$'s and m_j's.

Claim 2. *For $\overline{x} = (x_1, x_2) \in P \cap U$, we have:*

$$\mathcal{D}(\overline{x}) = 1 + \alpha x_1^2 + \beta x_2^2 + \gamma x_1 x_2 + O(\rho^4), \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$\alpha = 1 + \lambda_1^2 + \sum_j (\mu_{1,j}^2 + m_j^2), \tag{3.11}$$

$$\beta = 1 + \lambda_2^2 + \sum_j (\mu_{2,j}^2 + m_j^2), \tag{3.12}$$

$$\gamma = \sum_j 2m_j(\mu_{1,j} + \mu_{2,j}). \tag{3.13}$$

Moreover, if we write $x_1 = \rho a_1$ and $x_2 = \rho a_2$ where $a_1 = a_1(\theta) = cos(\theta)$ and $a_2 = a_2(\theta) = sin(\theta)$, then (3.10) *becomes:*

$$\mathcal{D}(\rho, \theta) = 1 + \overline{B}(\theta)\rho^2 + O(\rho^4) \tag{3.14}$$

where $\overline{B}(\theta) = \alpha a_1^2 + \beta a_2^2 + \gamma a_1 a_2$.

Proof. $\mathcal{D}$ is the sum of the squares of all 2×2 minors of the matrix $Jz(\overline{x})$ which is given (up to a term $O(\rho^3)$ in each entry) by:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ -x_1 & -x_2 \\ \lambda_1 x_1 & \lambda_2 x_2 \\ \mu_{1,4}x_1 + m_4 x_2 & \mu_{2,4}x_2 + m_4 x_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \mu_{1,d}x_1 + m_d x_2 & \mu_{2,d}x_2 + m_d x_1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{3.15}$$

If we denote by τ the permutation of 1 and 2 then:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(\overline{x}) =& 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{2} x_i^2 + \lambda_i^2 x_i^2 + \left(\sum_{i=1,2} (-1)^{i+1} \lambda_i x_1 x_2 \right)^2 + \sum_{j=4}^{d} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left(\mu_{i,j} x_i + m_j x_{\tau(i)} \right)^2 \\ &+ \sum_{j=4}^{d} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} (-1)^{i+1} \mu_{i,j} x_1 x_2 + m_j x_{\tau(i)}^2 \right)^2 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} (-1)^{i+1} (\lambda_i \mu_{\tau(i),j} x_1 x_2 + \lambda_i m_j x_i^2) \right)^2 \right] \\ &+ \sum_{4 \le j < k \le d} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} (-1)^{i+1} (\mu_{i,j} x_i + m_j x_{\tau(i)})(\mu_{2,k} x_{\tau(i)} + m_k x_i) \right)^2 + O(\rho^6). \end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen that the only sums contributing terms of order ρ^2 or lower are the sums on the first line. By expanding the squares, we get (3.10) of which (3.14) is a direct consequence. □

Claim 3. *For r small enough that $B(0,r) \subset U$, we have:*

$$\mathcal{H}^2(B(0,r) \cap \Omega) = \pi r^2 + r^4 \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{\overline{B}(\theta)}{8} - \frac{B(\theta)}{2} \right) d\theta + O(r^6) \tag{3.16}$$

where

$$B(\theta) = \sum_{l=3}^{d} B_l^2(\theta),$$

$$B_3 = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$B_4 = \frac{\lambda_1 a_1^2 + \lambda_2 a_2^2}{2},$$

and

$$B_l = \frac{m u_{1,l} a_1^2 + \mu_{2,l} a_2^2 + 2 m_l a_1 a_2}{2}, \text{ for } l \ge 5.$$

Proof. Let $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be the map:

$$F(\overline{x}) = (\overline{x}, z_3(\overline{x}), \ldots, z_d(\overline{x})).$$

By the area formula, we have:

$$\mathcal{H}^2(B(0,r)\cap\Omega) = \int_{F^{-1}(B(0,r))} \sqrt{\mathcal{D}(\overline{x})}dA \tag{3.17}$$

$$= \int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{\rho(\theta)} \left(1+\frac{\overline{B}(\theta)}{2}+O(\rho^4)\right)\rho d\rho d\theta, \tag{3.18}$$

$$= \int_0^{2\pi} \left[\frac{\rho^2}{2}+\frac{\overline{B}(\theta)}{8}\rho^4+O(\rho^6)\right]_0^{\rho(\theta)} d\theta. \tag{3.19}$$

We now find $\rho(\theta)$. Note that when $x_1^2+x_2^2=\rho(\theta)^2$, we have $F(x_1,x_2)\in\partial B(0,r)$. Hence:

$$\rho(\theta)^2+\sum_{j=3}^{d} z_j^2 = r^2. \tag{3.20}$$

By (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9), (3.20) becomes:

$$\rho^2(\theta)+\sum_{j=3}^{d} B_j^2(\theta)\rho^4(\theta) = r^2, \tag{3.21}$$

$$\rho^2(\theta)+B\rho^4(\theta) = r^2. \tag{3.22}$$

Expressing ρ as a power series in terms of r and substituting in (3.22), we get:

$$\rho(\theta) = r-\frac{B(\theta)}{2}r^3+O(r^4), \tag{3.23}$$

and consequently

$$\rho^2(\theta) = r^2-B(\theta)r^4+O(r^6), \tag{3.24}$$
$$\rho^4(\theta) = r^4+O(r^6).$$

Plugging (3.24) in (3.19), we get:

$$\mathcal{H}^2(B(0,r)\cap\Omega) = \pi r^2+r^4\int_0^{2\pi}\left(\frac{\overline{B}(\theta)}{8}-\frac{B(\theta)}{2}\right)d\theta+O(r^6). \tag{3.25}$$

□

Let us express B in term of the λ_i's, $\mu_{i,j}$'s and m_j's. We have :

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \sum_{l=3}^{d} B_l^2, \\ &= \frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left(\lambda_i^2+\sum_{j=5}^{d}\mu_{i,j}^2\right)a_i^4+\frac{1}{4}\left(2\lambda_1\lambda_2+2\sum_{j=5}^{d}\mu_{1,j}\mu_{2,j}+4m_j^2\right)a_1^2a_2^2 \qquad (3.26)\\ &+\frac{1}{4}\left(\sum_{j=5}^{d} m_j\mu_{1,j}\right)a_1^3a_2+\frac{1}{4}\left(\sum_j m_j\mu_{2,j}\right)a_1a_2^3 \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\left(1+\delta a_1^4+\epsilon a_2^4+\iota a_1^2a_2^2+\omega a_1^3a_2+\kappa a_2^3a_1\right), \qquad (3.27) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\delta = \left(\lambda_1^2 + \sum_{j=5}^{d} \mu_{1,j}^2\right),$$

$$\epsilon = \left(\lambda_2^2 + \sum_{j=5}^{d} \mu_{2,j}^2\right),$$

$$\iota = \left(2\lambda_1\lambda_2 + 2\sum_{j=5}^{d} \mu_{1,j}\mu_{2,j} + 4m_j^2\right),$$

$$\omega = \left(\sum_{j=5}^{d} m_j\mu_{1,j}\right),$$

and

$$\kappa = \left(\sum_{j} m_j\mu_{2,j}\right).$$

We now use the fact that $\mathcal{H}^2 \llcorner \Omega$ is locally 2-uniform to deduce a relation between the λ_i's, $\mu_{i,j}$'s and m_j's.

Claim 4. *We have:*

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda,$$

and for all $j \geq 5$

$$\mu_{1,j} = \mu_{2,j} = \mu_j \text{ and } m_j = 0.$$

Proof. On one hand, by Corollary 2.10, we have $\mathcal{H}^2(B(0,r) \cap \Omega) = \pi r^2$. On the other hand, by (3.16) , we have $\mathcal{H}^2(B(0,r)) = \pi r^2 + r^4 \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{\overline{B}(\theta)}{8} - \frac{B(\theta)}{2}\right) d\theta + O(r^6)$. By equating them we get

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\overline{B}(\theta)}{8} - \frac{B(\theta)}{2} d\theta = 0. \tag{3.28}$$

Rewrite this in term of a_1 and a_2 to get:

$$\frac{\alpha}{8}\int_0^{2\pi} a_1^2 d\theta + \frac{\beta}{8}\int_0^{2\pi} a_2^2 d\theta - \frac{1}{8}\int_0^{2\pi} d\theta - \frac{\delta}{8}\int_0^{2\pi} a_1^4 d\theta - \frac{\epsilon}{8}\int_0^{2\pi} a_2^4 d\theta - \frac{\iota}{8}\int_0^{2\pi} a_1^2 a_2^2 d\theta = 0, \tag{3.29}$$

by using the fact that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} cos(\theta)sin(\theta)d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} cos^3(\theta)sin(\theta)d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} cos(\theta)sin^3(\theta)d\theta = 0.$$

Moreover, since

$$\int_0^{2\pi} cos^2(\theta)d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} sin^2(\theta)d\theta = \pi$$
$$\int_0^{2\pi} cos^4(\theta)d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} sin^4(\theta)d\theta = \frac{3\pi}{4}$$
$$\int_0^{2\pi} cos^2(\theta)sin^2(\theta)d\theta = \frac{\pi}{4},$$

(3.29) becomes:

$$4\alpha + 4\beta - 8 - 3\delta - 3\epsilon - \iota = 0.$$

Replacing the letters by their values in terms of the λ_i's, $\mu_{i,j}$'s and m_j's gives:

$$\left(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 - 2\lambda_1\lambda_2\right) + \sum_j \left(\mu_{1,j}^2 + \mu_{2,j}^2 - 2\mu_{1,j}\mu_{2,j}\right) + \sum_j 4m_j^2 = 0 \tag{3.30}$$

This implies that $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = \mu_{1,j} - \mu_{2,j} = m_j = 0$ for all j.

□

We can now prove Lemma 3.2

Proof. Write

$$\mathcal{R} = \cup_i M_i, \tag{3.31}$$

where each M_i is a connected analytic 2-submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Since every point of M_i, $i > 0$, is analytic, it is umbilic and therefore by Theorem 2.5 M_i lies in some 2-dimensional sphere S_i (not necessarily distinct). □

We now finish the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Proof. First, we claim that there are only finitely many M_i's in (3.31). Indeed, by Theorem 2.6, for every $x \in \Omega$, there exists a neighborhood N_x such that $\Omega \cap N_x$ can be written as :

$$\Omega \cap N_x = V^2 \cup V^1 \cup V^0, \tag{3.32}$$

where V^2 is a finite union of analytic 2-submanifolds, V^1 a finite union of analytic 1-submanifolds and V^0 is a finite union of points.

By compactness of Ω, and by taking a finite cover of it by such neighborhoods, we can write Ω as:

$$\Omega = V^2 \cup V^1 \cup V^0, \tag{3.33}$$

where V^2 is a finite union of analytic 2-submanifolds, V^1 a finite union of analytic 1-submanifolds and V^0 is a finite union of points.

Calling the analytic 2-submanifolds M_i where each $M_i \subset S_i$ and noting that $V^1 \cup V^0 \subset \overline{V^2}$, we have:

$$\Omega \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} S_i. \tag{3.34}$$

We now proceed to prove that $M_i = S_i$ for all i and $\Omega = \mathcal{R}$.

Suppose that there exists i such that $M_i \neq S_i$, and assume without loss of generality that $i = 1$. Pick $y \in \partial(\Omega \cap S_1)$ (by $\partial(\Omega \cap S_1)$ we mean the boundary in the subspace topology of S_1 in the following). We first claim that $y \in \cup_{i \neq 1} S_i$. Suppose not. Then there exists ϵ such that $B(y, \epsilon) \subset \left(\bigcup_{i \neq 1} S_i\right)^c$. In particular, by (3.34),

$$B(y, \epsilon) \cap \Omega = B(y, \epsilon) \cap \Omega \cap S_1.$$

On the other hand, since $y \in \partial(\Omega \cap S_1)$, there exists a ball $B \subset B(y,\epsilon) \cap \Omega^c \cap S_1$ and consequently, $\mathcal{H}^2(B(y,\epsilon) \cap \Omega^c \cap S_1) > 0$. Thus we have, since $\mathcal{H}^2 \llcorner \Omega$ and $\mathcal{H}^2 \llcorner S_1$ are locally 2-uniform,

$$\begin{aligned}\pi\epsilon^2 = \mathcal{H}^2(B(y,\epsilon) \cap \Omega) &= \mathcal{H}^2(B(y,\epsilon) \cap \Omega \cap S_1),\\ &< \mathcal{H}^2(B(y,\epsilon) \cap \Omega \cap S_1) + \mathcal{H}^2(B(y,\epsilon) \cap \Omega^c \cap S_1),\\ &= \mathcal{H}^2(B(y,\epsilon) \cap S_1) = \pi\epsilon^2,\end{aligned}$$

which yields a contradiction. Hence, $y \in \bigcup_{i\neq 1} S_i$. In other words, for each $y \in \partial(\Omega \cap S_1)$ there exists a finite index set I, $1 \in I$, such that $y \in \Omega \cap \bigcap_{i\in I} S_i$. We now prove that such a set consists of a unique point. Let $e \in \Omega \cap \bigcap_{i\in I} S_i$. By Theorem 2.6, for every i, $S_i \cap \Omega = M_i \cup \partial M_i$. In particular, since $e \in S_i \cap \Omega$, there exists a sequence of points $e_l \in M_i$ (possibly all identified with e) such that $e_l \to e$. But Ω being a $C^{1,\alpha}$ submanifold (Theorem [1.3] from [N]), the tangent planes $T_{e_l}\Omega$ converge to $T_e\Omega$. On the other hand, $T_{e_l}S_i$ also converge to T_eS_i. Since $T_{e_l}S_i = T_{e_l}\Omega$, we get $T_e\Omega = T_eS_i$, for all i. In other words the spheres S_i are tangent in e. This implies that $\partial(\Omega \cap S_1)$, being a subset of a finite union of such intersections, is a finite union of points. Therefore, $\Omega \cap S_1$ is a finite union of points. So any sphere S_i such that $M_i \neq S_i$ only intersects Ω in a finite union of points. Since it is clear that two spheres cannot intersect in points from M_i (from a simple density argument), we can exclude a sphere intersecting Ω in a discrete set from our decomposition (3.34). This ends the proof that for every i, $M_i = S_i$.

Note that this argument also proves that the spheres are disjoint and that $\Omega = \mathcal{R}$, since $\partial M_i = \emptyset$, for all i.

□

We end this section by proving two simple lemmas about Ω which will be useful in describing the 2-spheres composing it.

.

Lemma 3.3. *For $i > 0$, let r_i be the radius of S_i. Then if $e \in M_i$, we have:*

$$B(e, 2r_i) \cap (\Omega \backslash S_i) = \emptyset \tag{3.35}$$

Proof. Let $\rho \in (0, 2r_i)$. Clearly, $r_i < 1$ since S_i is a subset of $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. By Theorem 2.10,

$$\sigma(B(e,\rho)) = \pi\rho^2. \tag{3.36}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(B(e,\rho)) &= \mathcal{H}^2(B(e,\rho) \cap \Omega),\\ &= \mathcal{H}^2(B(e,\rho) \cap S_i) + \mathcal{H}^2(B(e,\rho) \cap (\Omega \backslash S_i)),\\ &= \pi\rho^2 + \mathcal{H}^2(B(e,\rho) \cap (\Omega \backslash S_i)) \text{ , by (2.16).}\end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\mathcal{H}^2(B(e,\rho) \cap (\Omega \backslash S_i)) = 0 \tag{3.37}$$

Assume there exists $x \in B(e,\rho) \cap \Omega \backslash S_i$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\Omega \cap B(x,\delta) \subset B(e,\rho) \backslash S_i \tag{3.38}$$

and consequently

$$\mathcal{H}^2(B(e,\rho) \cap (\Omega \backslash S_i)) > \mathcal{H}^2(\Omega \cap B(x,\delta)) > 0,$$

yielding a contradiction.

□

Lemma 3.4. *For $i > 0$, $e \in S_i$, there exists $z \in \Omega \backslash S_i$ (not necessarily unique) such that:*

$$|z - e| = 2r_i.$$

In particular, this combined with (3.35) *implies that $dist(z, \Omega \backslash S_i) = 2r_i$.*

Proof. For $\epsilon > 0$ small enough,

$$\sigma(B(e, 2r_i(1+\epsilon))) - \sigma(S_i) = 4\pi r_i^2 \epsilon(2+\epsilon) > 0. \tag{3.39}$$

On the other hand,

$$\sigma(B(e, 2r_i(1+\epsilon))) - \sigma(S_i) = \mathcal{H}^2((\Omega \cap B(e, 2r_i(1+\epsilon))) \setminus S_i). \tag{3.40}$$

In particular, for all $j > 0$, j large enough,

$$\left(\Omega \cap B(e, 2r_i(1+\frac{1}{j}))\right) \setminus S_i \neq \emptyset,$$

and there exists $z_j \in \left(\Omega \cap B(e, 2r_i(1+\frac{1}{j}))\right) \setminus S_i$. Passing to a subsequence if necessary, $z_j \to z$, $z \in \Omega$, $|z - e| = 2r_i$. Moreover, $z \notin S_i$. If it were, then for j large enough, $dist(z, z_j) < 2r_i$ contradicting 3.35.

□

4 Understanding the Configuration of the 2-spheres

We now want to obtain a better description of the spheres that compose the support of Ω. We start with two lemmas of elementary geometry.

Lemma 4.1. *Let S be a two 2-dimensional sphere in $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $S \subset T$, where T is an affine 3-plane. We let $e \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and follow the notation $d(e, S) = D$, $r(S) = \rho$ and $d(e, T) = \delta$. Then, for $D < R$:*

$$B(e, R) \cap S = B(p, x) \cap S, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\{p\} = B(e, D) \cap T$ and

$$x^2 = \frac{\rho}{\rho + (D^2 - \delta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}(R^2 - D^2).$$

Proof. Let f be such that:

$$B(e, \delta) \cap T = \{f\}.$$

Then:

$$B(e, R) \cap T = B_3(f, \tilde{R}),$$

and

$$B(e, D) \cap T = B_3(f, \tilde{D}),$$

where B_3 denotes the three-dimensional ball in T, $R^2 = \tilde{R}^2 + \delta^2$ and $D^2 = \tilde{D}^2 + \delta^2$. Also note that $B_d(e, R) \cap T \cap S = B_3(f, \tilde{R}) \cap S$ since $S \subset T$.

Let q be the center of S. Then f, p and q are aligned since S and $\partial B_3(E, \tilde{D})$ are tangent at p.

Moreover, $B(f, \tilde{R})$ and S intersect in a circle C. For any $u, v \in C$, $|p-u| = |p-v| = x$. Indeed, since $|f-u| = |f-v| = \tilde{R}$, $|q-u| = |q-v| = \rho$, and f, p, q aligned, p is in the bisecting plane of any two such points. Therefore,

$$B_d(p, x) \cap S = B_3(p, x) \cap S = B_3(f, \tilde{R}) \cap S = B_d(e, R) \cap S.$$

To end the proof, we compute x. Choose $m \in C$ and let n be its projection on the line (fq). We work in the 2-plane T_2 containing f, q and m. Then $|p-m| = x$, $|q-m| = |p-q| = \rho$, $|f-p| = \tilde{D}$ and $|f-m| = \tilde{R}$. Moreover, we denote $|m-n|$ and $|p-n|$ by l and t respectively. Then, applying Pythagoras' theorem, we get:

$$\rho^2 = l^2 + (\rho - t)^2, \tag{4.2}$$

$$x^2 = l^2 + t^2, \tag{4.3}$$

$$\tilde{R}^2 = l^2 + (\tilde{D} + t)^2. \tag{4.4}$$

Then (4.2) becomes $l^2 = 2\rho t - t^2$ and plugging this into (4.3) gives

$$x^2 = 2\rho t, \tag{4.5}$$

and (4.4) becomes

$$t = \frac{\tilde{R}^2 - \tilde{D}^2}{2(\rho + \tilde{D})}.$$

Finally, (4.5) gives:

$$x^2 = \frac{\rho}{\rho + \tilde{D}}(\tilde{R}^2 - \tilde{D}^2). \tag{4.6}$$

Expressing $\tilde{R}$ and $\tilde{D}$ in terms of R, D and δ ends the proof. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let S be the 2-sphere in $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ defined by:*

$$S = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}; |z - \xi| = r, z \in V + \xi \right\},$$

where V is a linear 3-plane. Then for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, if $P_V(z) \neq 0$, denoting the closest point to z and furthest point to z on S by P_S and $\overline{P}_S$, we have:

$$P_S(z) = r\frac{P_V(z)}{|P_V(z)|} + \xi, \tag{4.7}$$

and

$$\overline{P}_S(z) = -r\frac{P_V(z)}{|P_V(z)|} + \xi, \tag{4.8}$$

where P_V is the linear projection on V. In particular, if we denote by $D_S(z)$ the distance from z to S and $\overline{D_S}(z)$ the distance between z and the furthest point to z on S, we have:

$$D_S(z) = |z - P_S(z)|,$$

and

$$\overline{D_S}(z) = |z - \overline{P}_S(z)|.$$

Proof. We start by proving that $P_{V+\xi}(z) = P_V(z) + \xi$, where $P_{V+\xi}$ denotes the affine projection on $V+\xi$. First note that ξ is normal to V. Indeed, if e is a unit vector of V, we have $|\xi+re| = |\xi-re| = 1$ since $\xi + re$ and $\xi - re$ are points of $S \subset \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. This gives

$$\langle \xi, \xi + re \rangle = \langle \xi, \xi - re \rangle ,$$

and consequently $\xi.e = 0$.

$P_{V+\xi}(z)$ is the point $\tilde{e}$ that minimize $|z - \tilde{e}|$ for $\tilde{e} \in V + \xi$. Writing $\tilde{e} = e + \xi$, $P_{V+\xi}(z) = e + \xi$ where e minimizes $|z - e - \xi|, e \in V$. But, since

$$|z - e - \xi|^2 = |P_V(z) - e|^2 + |P_{V^\perp}(z) - \xi|^2,$$

it is clear that $e = P_V(z)$ is the minimizer we're looking for. This proves that $P_{V+\xi}(z) = P_V(z) + \xi$.

Now if $u \in S \subset V + \xi$ minimizes (resp. maximizes) $|z - u|$, by writing

$$|z - u|^2 = |P_{V+\xi}(z) - u|^2 + |P^\perp_{V+\xi}(z)|^2,$$

we see that u minimizes (resp. maximizes) $|P_{V+\xi}(z) - u| = |P_V(z) - (u - \xi)|$ and consequently u maximizes (resp. minimizes) $\langle P_V(z), u - \xi \rangle$. Therefore, $\frac{u-\xi}{r} = \frac{P_V(z)}{|P_V(z)|}$ (respectively, $\frac{u-\xi}{r} = -\frac{P_V(z)}{|P_V(z)|}$). □

Using Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.2 and the fact that σ is locally 2-uniform, we deduce the following technical lemma which will be our first step towards a description of the spherical component.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, and $\sigma = \mathcal{H}^2 \llcorner \Omega$. Assume that σ satisfies:*

$$\sigma(B(x, r)) = \pi r^2, \tag{4.9}$$

for every $0 \leq r \leq 2$, for every $x \in \Omega$. From Theorems 3.1 and 2.11 we know that $\Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^M S_i$ where S_i is a 2-sphere of radius r_{S_i}. Let $\mathfrak{S} = \bigcup_{i=1}^M \{S_i\}$ and fix $z \in \Omega$. Define the integer $m(z)$, the indices $\{i\}_{i=1}^{m(z)}$, the radii $\{R_i(z)\}_{i=1}^{m(z)}$ and the subsets $\left\{C^i(z)\right\}_{i=1}^{m(z)}$, $\left\{C^j_i(z)\right\}_{0 \leq j \leq i \leq m(z)}$ of $\mathfrak{S}$ inductively in the following manner

- *$R_1(z) = 2r_z$ where r_z is the radius of the sphere S_z such that $z \in S_z$.*
- *$C^0(z) = C^0_0(z) = \{S_z\}$,*
- *The first layer $C^1(z) = C^1_1(z) = \bigcup \{\{S\}\,; D_S(z) = R_1(z)\}$ and the contribution of the zero-th to the first layer $C^1_0(z) = \emptyset$,*
- *If $1 \leq i$, $R_i(z) = \inf \left\{\overline{D}_S(z); S \in C^{i-1}(z)\right\}$, and $C^i_i(z) = \bigcup \{\{S\}\,; D_S(z) = R_i(z)\}$.*
- *For $0 \leq j \leq i$, the contribution of the j-th layer to the i-th layer*

$$C^i_j(z) = \bigcup_{S \in C^j(z)} \left\{\{S\}\,; \overline{D}_S(z) > R_i(z)\right\}.$$

- *$C^i(z) = \bigcup_{0 \leq j \leq i} C^i_j(z)$.*
- *$m(z)$ to be the first integer so that $R_{m(z)} = 2$ and $C^{m(z)}_j = \emptyset$ for all $j \leq m(z)$.*

Then, $\Omega = -\Omega$ and for every z, letting

$$c_S(z) = \frac{r_S}{r_S + \left(D_S(z)^2 - \delta_S(z)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

we have for every $0 \leq i \leq m(z)$,

$$4 \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} \sum_{S \in C^{j-1} \setminus C^j} r_S^2 = \sum_{S \in C^k(z)} c_S(z) D_S(z)^2 \tag{4.10}$$

and

$$\sum_{S \in C^k(z)} c_S(z) = 1. \tag{4.11}$$

In particular, for every $0 < i < m(z)$, $C^i(z) \neq \emptyset$ and $\Omega = \bigcup_{0 \leq i \leq m(z)} \bigcup_{S \in C^i} S$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, we know that $\Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^M S_i$ and $\Omega = -\Omega$. Fix $z \in \Omega$. By Lemmas 3.4 and 3.35, we know that $C^1(z) \neq \emptyset$. For any i, if $S \in C^i(z)$, then $D_S(z) \leq R_i(z)$ and $\overline{D}_S(z) > R_i(z)$ so that whenever $S \in C^i(z)$ and $R_i(z) < R < R_{i+1}(z)$, we have $S \cap B(z,R) \neq \emptyset$ and $S \cap (B(z,R))^c \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, if $S \in \bigcup_{l \leq i} C^{l-1} \setminus C^l$, then $\overline{D}_S(z) \leq R_i(z)$. Hence, for $R_i(z) < R < R_{i+1}(z)$,

$$B(z,R) \cap \Omega = \left(\bigcup_{l=1}^{i} \bigcup_{S \in C^{l-1}(z) \setminus C^l(z)} S \right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{S \in C^i(z)} S \cap B(z,R) \right) \tag{4.12}$$

Applying $\mathcal{H}^2$ on both sides, we get from the fact that σ is locally 2-uniform and by Lemma 4.1 and (2.16),

$$\pi R^2 = \sum_{l=1}^{i} \sum_{S \in C^{l-1}(z) \setminus C^l(z)} 4\pi r_S^2 + \sum_{S \in C^i(z)} \pi c_S(z)(R^2 - D_S(z)^2). \tag{4.13}$$

Differentiating twice with respect to R gives (4.11) and plugging (4.11) back into (4.13) gives (4.10). Note that (4.11) directly implies that every C^i is non-empty since $c_S(z) > 0$ for every S.

□

We now use this theorem to prove that the support of a locally 2-uniform measure is layered in a sense that will be made precise. Let us start by defining a notion of layering points.

Definition 4.4. *We call the set $\mathcal{L} \subset S_m$ of permutations $\{l_i\}_{i=0}^{m-1}$ a layering if for each i, l_i has the following properties:*

1. *$l_0(j) = j$,*
2. *$l_i(1) = i + 1$,*
3. *For all $i \neq k$, for all j, $l_i(j) \neq l_k(j)$.*
4. *$l_i^{-1} = l_i$.*

Moreover, if $r > 0$ and $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m\}$ is a set of points in $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that:

$$|\alpha_j - \alpha_{l_i(j)}| = 2\sqrt{i}r, \text{ for all } j, i \tag{4.14}$$

then we call it an r-layered set of points.

Finally, for $1 \leq i < j \leq m$, we denote by d_{ij} the integer such that:

$$l_{d_{ij}}(i) = j, \tag{4.15}$$

and set

$$d_{ii} = 0,$$

for all i. We call the function d such that $d(i,j) = d_{ij}$ the distance function of the layering.

Remark 4.1. 1. If $\{\alpha_j\}$ is r-layered by $\{l_i\}$ for some r then for all j,

$$\{j, l_1(j), \ldots, l_{m-1}(j)\} = \{1, \ldots, m\}.$$

2. The l_i's organize the points of P into layers. Let P_j be the sequence:

$$P_j = (\alpha_j, \alpha_{l_1(j)}, \ldots, \alpha_{l_{m-1}(j)}).$$

Each P_j is a rearrangement of P_1"viewed through the lens" of α_j: $\alpha_{l_i(j)}$ is the i-th layer of P_j and is at a distance $2\sqrt{i}r$ from α_j.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, $\sigma = \mathcal{H}^2 \llcorner \Omega$. Assume Ω is a layered union of spheres i.e $\Omega = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{2m} S_i\right)$ where:*

1. *For $i = 1, \ldots, 2m$, S_i is the 2- sphere of radius $r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m}}$ and center ξ_i,*
2. *For $i = m+1 \ldots 2m$, $S_i = -S_{2m+1-i}$*
3. *For all $i = 1, \ldots, 2m$, $S_i \subset V + \xi_i$ where V is a linear 3-plane such that $\xi_i \in V^{\perp}$.*
4. *$\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^{2m}$ is an r-layered set of points.*

Then

$$\sigma(B(x,r)) = \pi r^2, \text{ for } x \in \Omega\ ,\ 0 \leq r \leq 2. \tag{4.16}$$

Proof. First note that conditions 2 and 4 are compatible. Indeed, for $i > m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi_1 + \xi_{2m+1-i}|^2 &= 2(|\xi_1|^2 + |\xi_{2m+1-i}|^2) - 2|\xi_1 - \xi_{2m+1-i}|^2, \\ &= 4t^2 + 4(2m-i)r^2, \\ &= 4(2m - 1 - 2m + i)r^2, \\ &= 4(i-1)r^2, \end{aligned}$$

We first claim that if Ω is a layered union of spheres, then for fixed j, for all $z \in S_j$, for all i we have:

$$D_{S_{l_i(j)}}(z) = 2\sqrt{i}r = \overline{D_{S_{l_{i-1}(j)}}}(z). \tag{4.17}$$

We prove it for $j = 1$. The proof for other j's is exactly similar. First note that by the definition of a layered union of spheres, we have $P_{V^{\perp}}(z) = \xi_1$ for $z \in S_1$. Moreover $|P_V(z)| = |P_{V+\xi_1}(z) - \xi_1| = r$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} D_{S_i}(z)^2 &= |z - P_{S_i}(z)|^2, \\ &= |P_V(z) + \xi_1 - P_V(z) - \xi_i|^2, \\ &= |\xi_1 - \xi_i|^2, \\ &= 4(i-1)r^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{D_{S_{i-1}}(z)}^2 &= |z - \overline{P_{S_i}}(z)|^2,\\ &= |P_V(z) + \xi_1 + P_V(z) - \xi_{i-1}|^2,\\ &= 4|P_V(z)|^2 + |\xi_1 - \xi_{i-1}|^2,\\ &= 4r^2 + 4(i-2)r^2,\\ &= 4(i-1)r^2.\end{aligned}$$

We now show that (4.16) holds. Pick $z \in \Omega$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $z \in S_1$. Let $0 \leq R \leq 2$. Then there exists i such that $2\sqrt{i}r \leq R \leq 2\sqrt{i+1}r$. If $R = 2\sqrt{i}r$, then by Lemma 4.3, $B(z,R) \cap \Omega = \bigcup_{k=1}^{i-1} S_k$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}^2(B(z,R)\cap\Omega) &= \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \mathcal{H}^2(S_k),\\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \pi 4 {r_{S_k}}^2 \text{ , by(2.16)}\\ &= \pi\left(4\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} r^2\right),\\ &= 4\pi(i-1)r^2\\ &= \pi R^2.\end{aligned}$$

If $2\sqrt{i}r < R < 2\sqrt{i+1}r$, then $B(z,R)\cap\Omega = \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{i-1} S_k\right) \bigcup (S_i \cap B(z,R))$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}^2(B(z,R)\cap\Omega) &= \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \mathcal{H}^2(S_k) + \mathcal{H}^2(S_i \cap B(z,R)),\\ &= \pi {D_{S_i}(z)}^2 + \pi(R^2 - {D_{S_i}(z)}^2), \text{ by Lemma 4.1 and (2.16)},\\ &= \pi R^2.\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4.6. *Let σ be the spherical component of a conical 3-uniform measure in $\mathbb{R}^5$, $\Omega = supp(\sigma)$. Then Ω is a layered union of spheres.*

Proof. Assume S_1 is the sphere with smallest radius and denote r_{S_1} by r. First note that we can assume $r < \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$. Indeed, if $r = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$, then $D_{S_2} = 2r = \sqrt{2}$ which implies that $S_2 = -S_1$ and $\overline{D_{S_2}} = 2$. Therefore, $\Omega = S_1 \cup (-S_1)$ which ends the proof. But $r \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$: indeed, on one hand the sum of the squares of the radii is 1 since for any $z \in \Omega$,

$$4\pi = \sigma(B(z,2)) = 4\pi \sum_{S\in\mathfrak{S}} {r_S}^2,$$

and on the other hand the fact that $\Omega = -\Omega$ and $\Omega \neq \mathbb{S}^2 \times \{0\}$ implies that there are at least 2 2-spheres in Ω. Hence $r < \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$.

Assume that $S_1 = (V_1 + \xi) \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ where V_1 is a linear 3-plane normal to ξ and ξ is the center of S_1.

We first claim that for all $z \in S_1$, if the sphere S is in $C^1(z)$, we have:

$$r(S) = r_1.$$

Suppose this were not the case $r := r(S) > r_1$. Denoting $P_S(z)$ by $\zeta \in S$, we have by Claim 3.4

$$|\zeta - z| = 2r_1 < 2r,$$

which implies $dist(\zeta, S_1) < 2r$, contradicting 3.4. This proves the claim.

Now set $C^1(1) = \bigcup_{z\in S_1} C^1(z)$ the first layer with respect to S_1, pick $S \in C^1$ with radius r and center η. We can write $S = V + \eta$, for some linear 3-plane V normal to η. Set $A_S = \{z \in V_1 + \xi \ ; \ |z - P_S(z)| = 2r\}$. We wish to write an equation for A_S as an object in the 3-space $V_1+\xi$. Choose orthonormal bases $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^3$ of V_1 and $\langle u, v, w\rangle$ for V and write $\xi = te$. In the following we will denote $\langle z, e_i\rangle$ by z_i and $\langle z, e\rangle$ by z_e.

On one hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|z - P_S(z)|^2 &= 4r^2, \\
|P_V(z)|^2(1 - \frac{r}{|P_V(z)|})^2 + |P_{V^\perp}(z) - \eta|^2 &= 4r^2, \text{ by Lemma 4.2,} \\
|P_V(z)|^2 + r^2 - 2r|P_V(z)| + |P_{V^\perp}(z)|^2 + |\eta|^2 - 2\langle \eta, z\rangle &= 4r^2, \\
2 - 2(r|P_V(z)| + \langle \eta, z\rangle) &= 4r^2, \text{ since } |\eta|^2 + r^2 = 1, \text{ and } |z| = 1, \\
r|P_V(z)| &= K - \langle \eta, z\rangle, \text{ where } K = \frac{2 - 4r^2}{2}. \quad (4.18)
\end{aligned}$$

We first square and expand the right hand side of (4.18). Writing $\langle z, \eta\rangle = \eta_1 z_1 + \eta_2 z_2 + \eta_3 z_3 + t\eta_e$ and expanding the right hand side we get:

$$(K - \langle \eta, z\rangle)^2 = K^2 + t^2\eta_e^2 - 2Kt\eta_e + \sum_{i=1}^3 \eta_i^2 z_i^2 + \sum_{1\le j<k\le 3} 2\eta_j\eta_k z_j z_k + \sum_{i=1}^3 (2t\eta_e - 2K)\eta_i z_i, \quad (4.19)$$

$$= (K + t\eta_e)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \eta_i^2 z_i^2 + \sum_{1\le j<k\le 3} 2\eta_j\eta_k z_j z_k + \sum_{i=1}^3 (2t\eta_e - 2K)\eta_i z_i. \quad (4.20)$$

We now square and rewrite the left hand side of (4.18). Since $P_V(z) = \langle z, u\rangle\, u + \langle z, v\rangle\, v + \langle z, w\rangle\, w$, we write $|P_V(z)|^2 = \langle z, u\rangle^2 + \langle z, v\rangle^2 + \langle z, w\rangle^2$. Finally we write $\langle z, u\rangle = z_1u_1 + z_2u_2 + z_3u_3$ and expand the square to get:

$$r^2|P_V(z)|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^3 r^2(u_i^2 + v_i^2 + w_i^2)z_i^2 + \sum_{1\le j<k\le 3} 2r^2(u_ju_k + v_jv_k + w_jw_k)z_jz_k \quad (4.21)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^3 2tr^2(u_eu_i + v_ev_i + w_ew_i)z_i + r^2t^2(u_e^2 + v_e^2 + w_e^2), \quad (4.22)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^3 r^2|P_V(e_i)|^2 + \sum_{1\le j<k\le 3} 2r^2\langle P_V(e_i), P_V(e_j)\rangle z_jz_k \quad (4.23)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^3 2tr^2\langle P_V(e_i), P_V(e)\rangle z_i + r^2t^2|P_V(e)|^2. \quad (4.24)$$

(4.18) becomes:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i z_i^2 + \sum_{1\le j<k\le 3} b_{jk} z_j z_k + \sum_{i=1}^{3} c_i z_i = (K - t\eta_e)^2 - r^2 t^2 |P_V(e)|^2, \tag{4.25}$$

where

$$a_i = r^2 |P_V(e_i)|^2 - \eta_i^2,$$
$$b_{jk} = 2r^2 \langle P_V(e_j), P_V(e_k)\rangle - 2\eta_j\eta_k,$$

and

$$c_i = (2tr^2 \langle P_V(e), P_V(e_i)\rangle - 2t\eta_e + 2K)\eta_i.$$

So each A_S is a quadric in $V_1 + \xi$.

Note that

$$S_1 = \bigcup_{S\in C^1} (A_S \cap S_1).$$

Indeed, if $z \in S_1$, then there exists $S \in C^1$ so that $S \in C^1(z)$. In other words, $dist(z, S) = 2r_1$ or $z \in A_S$. But there are only finitely many S's in C^1 since Ω is a finite union of spheres. So there exists at least one $A_S \cap S_1$ of dimension 2. But two distinct quadrics can intersect only in a curve or a point if at all. This implies that A_S and S_1 are trivially identified. In other words we can identify the coefficients of the quadric (4.25) with the coefficients of the quadric

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} z_i^2 = r_1^2, \tag{4.26}$$

up to a multiple $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

We now claim that this implies that $V = V_1$. Indeed since $\dim(V + V_1) \le 5$, $\dim(V \cap V_1) \ge 1$. We can assume without loss of generality that $e_1 = u$ and $e_1 \in V \cap V_1$. In this case, we get $a_1 = r^2$ since $\eta_1 = 0$, η being normal to V. This implies that $\lambda = 1$ in (4.26) and consequently,

$$r^2 |P_V(e_i)|^2 - \eta_i^2 = r^2,$$

for $i = 2, 3$.

But P_V being the projection on V,

$$r^2 |P_V(e_i)|^2 - \eta_i^2 < r^2,$$

unless $e_i \in V$ and $\eta_i = 0$. This proves that $V = V_1$

Now write

$$C^1 = C_1^1 \cup C_2^1$$

where

$$C_1^1 = \{S; dim(A_S \cap S_1) < 2\},$$

and

$$C_2^1 = \{S; A_S \cap S_1 = S_1\}.$$

Since $V := V_1 = V_S$ for every $S \in C_2^1$, we have for $z \in S_1$ and $S \in C_2^1$,

$$D_S^2(z) - \delta_S^2(z) = |z - P_S(z)|^2 - |z - P_{V+\eta}(z)|^2, \tag{4.27}$$

$$= |P_V(z) - \frac{r_S}{r_1} P_V(z)|^2 + |P_{V^\perp}(z) - \eta|^2 - |P_{V^\perp}(z) - \eta|^2, \tag{4.28}$$

$$= (r_s - r_1)^2. \tag{4.29}$$

Thus $\left(D_S^2(z) - \delta_S^2(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = r_s - r_1$ since $r_S \geq r_1$ (in fact, for the "first layer" C^1, $r_S = r_1$, but we assume less so that the proof follows through for other layers) and

$$c_S = \frac{r_S}{2r_S - r} \tag{4.30}$$

Note that for $S \in C_2^1$, $c_S(z)$ is independent of z and

$$c_S > \frac{r_S}{2r_S} = \frac{1}{2} \tag{4.31}$$

.

By (4.31),

$$\sum_{S \in C^1(z)} c_S > \left(\#C_2^1\right) \cdot \frac{1}{2},$$

which implies that C_2^1 contains only one sphere. Call it S_2.

Now, pick $z \in S_1 \backslash \left(\cup_{S \in C_1^1} A_S \cap S_1\right)$. By the above, z has only S_2 in its first layer. Therefore, $c_{S_2}(z) = 1$ which implies that $r_{S_2} = r$. We finally deduce that $C_1^1 = \emptyset$. Indeed, suppose that $S \in C_1^1$ and $z \in A_S \cap S_1$. Since S_2 is also in the first layer of z, we have:

$$1 = \sum_{S \in C^1(z)} c_S \geq c_{S_2}(z) + c_S(z) > 1,$$

yielding a contradiction. This proves that $C^1 = \{S_2\}$.

Note that since C^1 is composed of a unique sphere of radius r_1 contained in $V_1 + \xi_i$ we have:

$$D_{S_2} = |P_V(z) + \xi_1 - P_V(z) - \xi_2| = |\xi_1 - \xi_2|.$$

In particular,

$$|\xi_1 - \xi_2| = 2r.$$

Moreover, for all z,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{D}_{S_2}(z)^2 &= |z - \overline{P_s}(z)|^2, \\ &= 4|P_V(z)|^2 + |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^2, \\ &= 8r^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that for some k, and all $i \leq k$

1. There exists a unique sphere S_{i+1} such that for all $z \in S_1$, $C^i(z) = \{S_{i+1}\}$,
2. $S_{i+1} \subset V + \xi_{i+1}$,
3. $r_{S_{i+1}} = r$,

4. For all $z \in S_1$, $D_{S_{i+1}}(z) = \overline{D}_{S_i}(z) = |\xi_1 - \xi_{i+1}| = 2\sqrt{i}r$.

Then repeating the exact same proof as for S_2, while replacing $D_{S_2} = 2r$ with $D_{S_{i+1}} = 2\sqrt{i}r$, we get the same result for S_{i+2}.

Note that since $\Omega = -\Omega$, we have $\Omega = (\bigcup_{i=1}^m S_i) \bigcup (\bigcup_{i=1}^m -S_i)$.

Moreover for $i \leq m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi_1 + \xi_i|^2 &= 2(|\xi_1|^2 + |\xi_i|^2) - 2|\xi_1 - \xi_i|^2, \\ &= 4t^2 + 4(i)r^2, \\ &= 4(2m - 1 + i)r^2, \\ &= 4(i-1)r^2. \end{aligned}$$

We rename $-S_i$ to be S_{2m+1-i} for $i \leq m$.

We can now prove that $\{\xi_j\}$ is r-layered: choose any j and let $l_i(j)$ be such that $C^i(j) = \left\{S_{l_i(j)}\right\}$, where $C^i(j)$ is the i-th layer with respect to S_j. Since the spheres all have same radius the same proof as for S_1 can be repeated to show that for all $z \in S_i$, $D_{S_{l_j(i)}}(z) = 2\sqrt{j}r$ and $|\xi_i - \xi_{l_j(i)}| = D_{S_{l_j(i)}}(z)$. (1) and (2) from Definition 4.4 are obvious. We prove that l_i is bijective for every i. Indeed this follows from the fact that for all j,

$$\bigcup_{i=0}^{2m-1} C^i(j) = \{S_1, \ldots, S_{2m}\} = \left\{S_j, S_{l_1(j)}, \ldots, S_{l_{2m-1}(j)}\right\}.$$

To show (3) from Definition 4.4, suppose that there existed j such that $l_i(j) = l_k(j)$. Then $C^i(j) = C^k(j)$ which would in turn imply that $D_{S_{l_i(j)}} = 2\sqrt{i}r = 2\sqrt{k}r = D_{S_{l_k(j)}}$ and $i = k$. Finally

$$|\xi_j - \xi_{l_i(j)}| = 2\sqrt{i}r \implies l_i \circ l_i(j) = j.$$

This proves that the centers are r-layered.

Finally to see that $r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m}}$, fix $z \in supp(\sigma)$ and consider $B(z, 2)$. We have $4\pi = \sigma(B(z,2)) = \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \mathcal{H}^2(S_i) = 8m\pi r^2$ from which the claim follows.

□

As a consequence we get a classification of conical 3-uniform measures in $\mathbb{R}^5$. We first need to prove a lemma stating that a set of layered points is the support of a discrete uniformly distributed measure.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an r-layered set of points. Then for any $c > 0$, the measure*

$$\lambda = c \sum_{i=1}^{m} \delta_{\{\xi_i\}}$$

is uniformly distributed.

Proof. Fix i. Then, for all $0 \leq j < m - 1$, for $2\sqrt{j}r \leq r \leq 2\sqrt{j+1}r$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda((B(\xi_i, r)) &= \lambda(\left\{\xi_{l_k(i)}\right\}_{1 \leq k \leq j}), & (4.32) \\ &= cj, & (4.33) \end{aligned}$$

and if $r > 2\sqrt{m-1}r$, $\mu(B(\xi_i, r) = cm$.

□

Theorem 4.8. *Let ν be a Radon measure in $\mathbb{R}^5$ such that for all $r > 0$, $supp(\nu) = r\,supp(\nu)$. Moreover, suppose that $supp(\nu)$ is not isometric to any affine 3-space nor to the cone in (1.1). Then ν is a 3-uniform measure if and only if, there exists $c > 0$ such that, up to isometry,*

$$\nu = c\mathcal{H}^3 \llcorner (C_1 \cup C_2)\,, \tag{4.34}$$

where

$$C_1 = \{x\ ;\ x_4 = 0\} \bigcap \left\{x\ ;\ 3\left({x_1}^2 + {x_2}^2 + {x_3}^2\right) = {x_5}^2\right\}, \tag{4.35}$$

and

$$C_2 = \left\{x\ ;\ x_4 = 2\sqrt{2}x_5\right\} \bigcap \left\{x\ ;\ 3\left({x_1}^2 + {x_2}^2 + {x_3}^2\right) = {x_4}^2 + {x_5}^2\right\}. \tag{4.36}$$

Proof. First, by Theorem 4.6, $\Omega = supp(\nu) \cap \mathbb{S}^4$ is a layered union of $2p$ 2-spheres of same radius $r = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2p}}$, and there exists a linear 3-plane V such that for every sphere S_i of Ω, $S_i \subset V + \xi_i$ where ξ_i is the center of S_i and $|\xi_i| = \sqrt{1 - r^2}$. Moreover, for every i, $\xi_i \in V^\perp$. Since V is 3-dimensional, we can assume without loss of generality that $\{\xi_i\}_i \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. We want to prove that $p = 2$ unless $supp(\nu)$ is the Kowalski-Preiss cone. By Lemma 4.7, if σ is the spherical component of a conical 3-uniform measure, and Ω is its support, then the centers of the 2-spheres in Ω are the support of a discrete uniformly distributed measure on $\mathbb{R}^2$, supported on $t\mathbb{S}^1$. By Proposition (2.4) in [KiP] where planar uniformly distributed measures with compact support are classified , these centers are either the vertices of a regular n-gon or the vertices of 2 regular n-gons of same center and same radius. The fact that, in the definition of r-layered points, for a fixed i, ξ_i cannot be equidistant to two other centers implies that the centers are either two antipodal points or two pairs of antipodal points. The first case reduces to the cone (1.1). Indeed, up to isometry, we can take the two centers to be $c_1 = (0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0)$ and $c_2 = -c_1$ since $r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ implies that $|c_1 - c_2| = \sqrt{2}$. Then, taking the sphere S_1 to be:

$$S_1 = \left\{(z_1, z_2, z_3, 0, 0) + c_1\ ;\ {z_1}^2 + {z_2}^2 + {z_3}^2 = \frac{1}{2}\right\}, \tag{4.37}$$

$S_2 = -S_1$ and $\Omega = S_1 \cup S_2$, it is easily seen that Ω is the spherical component of the cone in (1.1).

As for the second case, we have $r = \frac{1}{2}$ and we get a rectangle with width 1 and length $\sqrt{2}$. Viewing the plane as embedded in $\mathbb{R}^5$ we can find the equation for the support of ν, up to isometry, in the following manner. Choose the centers of the 4 2-spheres $\{S_l\}_{l=1}^4$, each of which has radius $\frac{1}{2}$, to be $c_1 = \left(0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)$, $c_2 = \left(0, 0, 0, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)$, $c_3 = -c_1$ and $c_4 = -c_2$. One can easily verify that $|c_1 - c_2| = 1$, the line passing through c_1 and c_2 is parallel to the line passing through c_3 and c_4 and that these two lines are at a distance $\sqrt{2}$ of each other. Moreover suppose the sphere S_l is described by

$$S_l = \left\{(z_1, z_2, z_3, 0, 0) + c_l\ ,\ {z_1}^2 + {z_2}^2 + {z_3}^2 = \frac{1}{4}.\right\}$$

Let C_1 and C_2 be the cones given by:

$$C_1 = \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^5\ ;\ \frac{x}{|x|} \in S_1 \cup S_3\right\}, \tag{4.38}$$

and

$$C_2 = \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^5\ ;\ \frac{x}{|x|} \in S_2 \cup S_4\right\}. \tag{4.39}$$

Then denoting the projection onto the i-th coordinate by p_i we have, on one hand:

$$x \in C_1 \iff p_4\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right) = 0 \text{ and } p_5\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right) = \pm\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \tag{4.40}$$

and, on the other hand,

$$x \in C_2 \iff p_4\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)^2 = \pm\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} \text{ and } p_5\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right) = \pm\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}. \tag{4.41}$$

This gives:

$$C_1 = \{x\ ;\ x_4 = 0\} \bigcap \left\{x\ ;\ 3\left({x_1}^2 + {x_2}^2 + {x_3}^2\right) = {x_5}^2\right\}, \tag{4.42}$$

and

$$C_2 = \left\{x\ ;\ x_4 = 2\sqrt{2}x_5\right\} \bigcap \left\{x\ ;\ 3\left({x_1}^2 + {x_2}^2 + {x_3}^2\right) = {x_4}^2 + {x_5}^2\right\}. \tag{4.43}$$

Then, by Proposition 2.2, ν is given by

$$\nu = c\mathcal{H}^3 \llcorner (C_1 \cup C_2), \tag{4.44}$$

for some $c > 0$.

□

5 Weighted graph of a 3-uniform measure

Our aim now is to find a systematic way of producing layerings. To do this we need to define a graph associated to each layering and find conditions on the graph guaranteeing its embeddability in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Definition 5.1. *Let σ be the spherical component of a 3-uniform conical measure ν in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and let $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^{2p}$ be the centers of the spheres in its support, as described in Theorem 4.8. We define the graph G_ν of ν to be the weighted graph composed of*

1. *the vertices $V(G) = \{v_i\}_{2p}$*
2. *the edges $E(G) = \{\{v_i, v_j\}\}_{1 \le i < j \le 2p}$*
3. *the weight $w(\{v_i, v_j\}) = d_{ij}$ where d_{ij} are the distance functions that arise from $\mathcal{L}_\nu$.*

More generally, we can define the graph associated to an r-layering of points in a similar way.

We start by proving the simple observation that a layering $\{l_i\}_{i=1}^m$ consists of an edge-coloring of the complete graph.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $G = K_{2p}$ be the complete graph on the vertices $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^{2p}$.*

Then $\mathcal{L} = \{l_i\}_{i=0}^m$ is a layering if and only if the assignment $c : E(K_{2p}) \to \{1, \dots, 2p-1\}$ of colors defined by $c(\{v_i, v_j\}) = d_{ij}$ is a $(2p-1)$ coloring of the edges of K_{2p}. We call $G_\mathcal{L}$ the graph associated to the layering.

Moreover, if there exist numbers d_{ij}, for $1 \le i < j \le 2p$ such that $d_{ij} \in \{1, \dots, 2p-1\}$ for all i, j and the assignment $c(v_i, v_j) = d_{ij}$ defines a $(2p-1)$ edge-coloring of G, define the functions $\mathcal{L} = \{l_k\}_{i=0}^{2p-1}$ in the following manner:

- *$l_0(j) = j$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, 2p-1\}$,*
- *$l_k(i) = j$ for $k > 0$, where j is the integer such that $d_{ij} = k$.*

Then, up to relabeling of the vertices, $\mathcal{L}$ is a layering.

Proof. The proof follows directly from the definition of a layering. Indeed, for c to define an edge-coloring, we only need to prove that $d_{ij} = d_{ik}$ implies that $j = k$. Clearly,if $d_{ij} = d_{ik}$ then $l_{d_{ij}}(i) = j$ and $l_{d_{ij}}(i) = k = j$.

Conversely, if G is as described, we first prove that the functions l_k are well-defined bijections. Pick any $k > 0$ and $1 \leq i \leq 2p$. Since v_i is adjacent to $2p-1$ edges, and c is a $(2p-1)$ coloring, there exists a unique j such that $d_{ij} = k$.

We can relabel the vertices so that $l_k(1) = k+1$. The fact that $l_k^{-1} = l_k$ is a consequence of the fact that $d_{ij} = d_{ji}$. Finally, suppose that there exists j such $l_k(j) = l_{k'}(j) = i$. Then $k = k' = d_{ij}$. This ends the proof.

□

This says that every conical 3-uniform measure gives rise to a $(2p-1)$ edge-coloring of the complete graph K_{2p} for some p.

We now wish to get results in the other direction. In other words, if a weighted graph is given, what conditions will guarantee that there exists a 3-uniform conical measure associated to it? More precisely, by defining the weighted graph G associated to a $(2p-1)$-coloring of K_{2p} (which assigns to each edge the weight $c(\{v_i, v_j\}) = d_{ij}$), what conditions on G guarantee the existence of a conical 3-uniform measure ν such that $G = G_\nu$? By Theorem 4.8, every set of $2p$ r-layered points for $r = \sqrt{2p}$ gives rise to a 3-uniform measure. We will use 2.16 to find conditions on a set of distances d_{ij} associated to a layering that guarantee its embeddability in Euclidean space.

Definition 5.3. *Let $\mathcal{L} = \{l_i\}_{i=1}^{2p-1}$ be a layering. We define the matrix $\Delta_\mathcal{L}$ associated to the layering to be*

$$(\Delta_\mathcal{L})_{ij} = \frac{2p-1-2d_{ij}}{2p-1}.$$

Theorem 5.4. *Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{L} = \{l_i\}_{i=0}^{2p-1}$ be a layering, $r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2p}}$ and $t = \sqrt{1-r^2} = \sqrt{\frac{2p-1}{2p}}$. Then there exists an r-layered set of $2p$ points $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^{2p}$ in $t\mathbb{S}^{2p-2}$ if and only if the spectral gap λ_G of the Laplacian of the graph $G_\mathcal{L}$ associated to the layering satisfies:*

$$\lambda_G \geq p(2p-1) \tag{5.1}$$

if and only if

$$\Lambda_G \geq 1$$

where Λ_G is the spectral gap of the normalized Laplacian of G.

Proof. By Theorem 2.16, if we take our semi-metric space to be $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^{2p}$ with the distance set $\left\{\frac{\sqrt{2p-1}}{\sqrt{2p}} \arccos\left(\frac{2p-1-2d_{ij}}{n}\right)\right\}$, there exist points $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^{2p} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2p-1}$, $|\xi_i| = t$ with distance set $|\xi_i - \xi_j|_{t\mathbb{S}} = \frac{\sqrt{2p-1}}{\sqrt{2p}} \arccos\left(\frac{2p-1-2d_{ij}}{n}\right) = \overline{d}_{ij}$ if and only if the matrix Δ given by:

$$\Delta_{ij} = cos\left(\frac{\overline{d}_{ij}}{t}\right) = \frac{2p-1-2d_{ij}}{2p-1} \tag{5.2}$$

is positive semi-definite.

Note that for this choice of $\overline{d}_{ij}$, if we find points $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^{2p}$ with the prescribed distance set, their euclidean distance will be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\xi_i - \xi_j|^2 &= |\xi_i|^2 + |\xi_j|^2 - 2\langle \xi_i, \xi_j \rangle, \\
&= 2 \cdot \frac{2p-1}{2p} - 2 \cdot \frac{2p-1}{2p} cos\left(\frac{\overline{d}_{ij}}{t}\right), \\
&= 2 \cdot \frac{2p-1}{2p} - 2 \cdot \frac{2p-1}{2p} \cdot \frac{2p-1-d_{ij}}{2p-1}, \\
&= 4 \cdot d_{ij} \cdot \frac{1}{2p}.
\end{aligned}
$$

We will first rewrite the matrix Δ in terms of the Laplacian of G and the fact that Δ is positive semi-definite will then allow us to deduce the lower bound on λ_G. Denote the Laplacian of G by L. For $i \neq j$,

$$\Delta_{ij} = 1 - \frac{2}{2p-1} d_{ij} = 1 + \frac{2}{2p-1} L_{ij} \tag{5.3}$$

and for $i = j$,

$$\Delta_{ii} = 1 = 1 + \frac{2}{2p-1} \cdot \frac{2p(2p-1)}{2} - 2p = 1 + \frac{2}{2p-1} L_{ii} - 2p. \tag{5.4}$$

Therefore,

$$\Delta_{ij} = 1 - 2p\delta_{ij} + \frac{2}{2p-1} L_{ij},$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker symbol. This follows from the fact that each vertex of G has degree $\frac{2p(2p-1)}{2}$. Indeed, each v_i has $2p-1$ edges adjacent to it, all of distinct weight between 1 and $2p-1$. So $d(v_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{2p-1} i = \frac{2p(2p-1)}{2}$.

This implies that

$$\Delta = J - 2pI_{2p} + \frac{2}{2p-1} L, \tag{5.5}$$

where J is the matrix whose entries are all 1 and I_{2p} is the identity matrix.

J has eigenvalues $2p$ and 0, the vector $e_1 = (1, \ldots, 1)$ is a common eigenvector of J for the eigenvalue $2p$ and of L for the eigenvalue 0. Hence we can choose e_1 to be a common eigenvector corresponding to the 0 eigenvalue for L. Let e be an eigenvector of L orthogonal to e_1 and λ the corresponding eigenvalue. Since e is orthogonal to e_1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta \cdot e &= J.e - 2p\, e + \lambda \frac{2}{2p-1}\, e, \\
&= \left(\frac{2\lambda}{2p-1} - 2p\right)\, e.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, Δ is positive semi-definite if and only if $\frac{2\lambda}{2p-1} - 2p \geq 0$ if and only if $\lambda \geq p(2p-1)$. In particular, if λ_G is the second smallest eigenvalue of L, Δ is positive semi-definite if and only if $\lambda_G \geq p(2p-1)$. The bound on Λ_G follows from the fact the vertices of G all have the same degree $p(p-1)$ which implies $L_{nor} = \frac{1}{p(2p-1)} L$.

□

The fact that the matrix Δ from the proof of Theorem 4.8 is positive semi-definite encodes information on the geometry of the set of points it describes. We start with a lemma.

Lemma 5.5. *If $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^{2p}$ is an r-layered set of points, and $\{l_i\}$ the functions layering it, then for $j = 1, \ldots, 2p$ we have:*

1. $l_{2p-1}(j) = 2p + 1 - j$

2. $l_i \circ l_{2p-1} = l_{2p-1} \circ l_i = l_{2p-1-i}$

Proof. To prove 1. , note that $|\xi_j - \xi_{l_{2p-1}(j)}| = 2\sqrt{2p-1}r = 2\frac{\sqrt{2p-1}}{\sqrt{2p}} = 2t$. So ξ_j and $\xi_{l_{2p-1}(j)}$ are antipodal points. Now pick j. Since ξ_j and $\xi_{l_{2p-1}(j)}$ are antipodal, we have:

$$|\xi_1 - \xi_j|^2 + |\xi_1 - \xi_{l_{2p-1}(j)}|^2 = |\xi_{l_{2p-1}(j)} - \xi_j|^2,$$

which implies, after dividing by $4r^2$, that

$$j - 1 + l_{2p-1}(j) - 1 = 2p - 1$$

since $l_i(j) = j + 1$ for all j. This proves 1. Now to prove 2. and 3., consider the rectangle formed by $\xi_j, \xi_{l_i(j)}, \xi_{l_{2p-1}(j)}, \xi_{l_{2p-1} \circ l_i(j)}$. We have

$$|\xi_j - \xi_{l_i(j)}|^2 + |\xi_j - \xi_{l_{2p-1} \circ l_i(j)}|^2 = 2p - 1.$$

This implies that $i + |\xi_j - \xi_{l_{2p-1} \circ l_i(j)}|^2 = 2p - 1$ and

$$l_{2p-1} \circ l_i = l_{2p-1-i}. \tag{5.6}$$

Applying l_i to the left in (5.6), we get:

$$l_{2p-1} = l_{2p-1-i} \circ l_i. \tag{5.7}$$

We obtain the other identities similarly. □

Theorem 5.6. *Let $\{l_i\}_{i=0}^{2p-1}$ be a layering and let Δ be the matrix $\Delta = J - 2pI_{2p} + \frac{2}{2p-1}L$ where J is the matrix with 1 in all its entries, I_{2p} is the identity matrix and L is the Laplacian of the graph associated to the layering. Then, if Δ is positive semi definite, there exists a matrix A of rank at most p such that:*

$$\Delta = A^\intercal A \tag{5.8}$$

and the columns $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^{2p}$ of A form a set of r-layered points in $t\mathbb{S}^{2p-1}$ where $r = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2p}}$ and $t = \sqrt{\frac{2p-1}{2p}}$. Moreover p must be even.

Proof. Since Δ is positive semi-definite, there exists a set of r-layered points $\{\xi_i\}$ in $t\mathbb{S}^{2p-2}$ by Theorem 4.8.

We prove that p is even. Consider the sets $A_j = \{j, l_1(j), , l_{2p-1}(j), l_{2p-2}(j)\}$. We claim that for $j \neq k$, either $A_j = A_k$ or $A_j \cap A_k = \emptyset$. Suppose that $A_j \cap A_k \neq \emptyset$ and let s be in the intersection. Notice that by Lemma 5.5 if $s \in A_j \cap A_k$, then $l_1(s), l_{2p-1}(s), l_{2p-2}(s)$ are all in $A_j \cap A_k$. Since those elements are all distinct, $A_j = A_k$. Therefore these sets partition $\{1, \ldots, 2p\}$ which implies that 4 divides $2p$ and p is even.

To prove that Δ has rank at most p, we rewrite it in a more convenient way. Let $\{e_j\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{2p}$. Define for each $i = 0, \ldots, 2p-1$ the permutation matrix A_i defined by

$$A_i(e_j) = e_{l_i(j)}. \tag{5.9}$$

We claim that Δ can be written as:

$$\Delta = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \frac{2p-1-2i}{2p-1} \left(A_i - A_{2p-1-i}\right). \tag{5.10}$$

First note that $\frac{2p-1-2(2p-1-i)}{2p-1} = -\frac{2p-1-2i}{2p-1}$. Now the image of e_j by the matrix on the right of 5.9 is:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \frac{2p-1-2i}{2p-1} \left(A_i.e_j - A_{2p-1-i}.e_j\right) &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \frac{2p-1-2i}{2p-1} e_{l_i(j)} + \frac{2p-1-2(2p-1-i)}{2p-1} e_{l_{2p-1-i}(j)}, \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{2p-1} \frac{2p-1-2d_{j,l_i(j)}}{2p-1} e_{l_i(j)}, \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} \Delta_{jk} e_k,\end{aligned}$$

proving the claim.

Consider the orthogonal basis $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{2p}$ defined in the following way:

$$u_j = \begin{cases} e_j + e_{2p+1-j},\ j \leq p \\ e_j - e_{2p+1-j},\ j \geq p+1. \end{cases}$$

We claim that $\Delta u_j = 0$ for $j \leq p$ and $\Delta u_j \in span\left\{u_{p+1}, \ldots, u_{2p}\right\}$ for $j \geq p+1$.

Indeed, for $j \leq p$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta u_j &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \frac{2p-1-2i}{2p-1} \left(A_i.u_j - A_{2p-1-i}.u_j\right), \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \frac{2p-1-2i}{2p-1} \left(A_i.e_j + A_i.e_{2p+1-j} - A_{2p-1-i}.e_j - A_{2p-1-i}.e_{2p+1-j}\right), \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \frac{2p-1-2i}{2p-1} \left(e_{l_i(j)} + e_{l_i(2p+1-j)} - e_{l_{2p-1-i}(j)} - e_{l_{2p-1-i}(2p+1-j)}\right), \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \frac{2p-1-2i}{2p-1} \left(e_{l_i(j)} + e_{l_{2p-1-i}(j)} - e_{l_{2p-1-i}(j)} - e_{l_i(j)}\right), \text{ by Lemma 5.6,} \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for $j \geq p+1$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta u_j &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \frac{2p-1-2i}{2p-1} \left(A_i.u_j - A_{2p-1-i}.u_j\right), \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \frac{2p-1-2i}{2p-1} \left(A_i.e_j - A_i.e_{2p+1-j} - A_{2p-1-i}.e_j + A_{2p-1-i}.e_{2p+1-j}\right), \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \frac{2p-1-2i}{2p-1} \left(e_{l_i(j)} - e_{l_i(2p+1-j)} - e_{l_{2p-1-i}(j)} + e_{l_{2p-1-i}(2p+1-j)}\right), \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \frac{2p-1-2i}{2p-1} \left(e_{l_i(j)} - e_{l_{2p-1-i}(j)} - e_{l_{2p-1-i}(j)} + e_{l_i(j)}\right), \text{ by Lemma 5.6,}\end{aligned}$$

$$= 2\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \frac{2p-1-2i}{2p-1} u_{\min(l_i(j),l_{2p-1-i}(j))}$$

This proves that Δ has rank at most p.

Finally, we describe how to find the corresponding r-layered points. Since $\Delta_{ij} = \langle \xi_i, \xi_j \rangle$ for the points whose existence is guaranteed by Theorem 2.16, if we find a matrix A with columns x_i such that

$$\Delta = A^\intercal A,$$

then $\Delta_{ij} = \langle x_i, x_j \rangle$ and we can set $\xi_i = x_i$. To find such a matrix, we diagonalize Δ. Since it is symmetric, there exists an orthogonal matrix P and a diagonal matrix D so that: $\Delta = PDP^\intercal$. Since Δ is positive semi-definite, all the entries of D are non-negative. Denoting by $D^{\frac{1}{2}}$ the diagonal matrix with entries the square roots of the entries of D, we can write:

$$\Delta = PD^{\frac{1}{2}}D^{\frac{1}{2}}P^\intercal.$$

Choose $A^\intercal$ to be $PD^{\frac{1}{2}}$. By Theorem [7.2.10] in [HJ], A and Δ have the same rank, which ends the proof. □

We can now put those results together in the following theorem.

Theorem 5.7. *Let $\mathfrak{G}$ be the set of weighted graphs G satisfying:*

- *$G = K_{4p}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$,*
- *G is weighted by $w : E(G) \to \{1, \dots 4p-1\}$ and the assignment of labels corresponding to w is an edge-coloring of G,*
- *The second smallest eigenvalue λ_G of the (non-normalized) Laplacian of G satisfies:*

$$\lambda_G \geq \frac{4p(4p-1)}{2}.$$

For every graph $G \in \mathfrak{G}$, $|V(G)| = 4p$, let $\mathcal{L}$ be the layering associated to it. Construct the set of points $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^{4p} \subset \mathbb{R}^{4p-1}$ associated to $\mathcal{L}$, set $c_i = (0,0,0,\xi_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4p$ and define S_i to be the 2-sphere of radius $r = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4p}}$ centered at c_i, such that $S_i = (V + c_i) \cap \mathbb{S}^{4p+1}$ where $V = \mathbb{R}^3 \times \{0\}$. Setting $\Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^{4p} S_i$ and

$$\Sigma = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{4p+2} \; ; \; \frac{x}{|x|} \in \Omega \right\} \bigcup \{0\},$$

and $\nu = \mathcal{H}^3 \llcorner \Sigma$, we have for all $x \in \Sigma$, $r > 0$,

$$\nu(B(x,r)) = \frac{4\pi}{3} r^3.$$

In particular, ν is 3-uniform.

Proof. The theorem is a direct consequence of Theorems 4.8, 5.6 and 5.4. □

6 Examples of 3 uniform measures

We already know an example of an Ω that is locally 2-uniform. Indeed, if C is the KP-cone described in 1.1, take Ω to be its spherical component. Then by Theorem 2.10, Ω is locally 2-uniform . The following two lemmas state that there exist other non-isometric examples.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $r = 2^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}$, $n = 0, 1, \ldots$. Construct the rectangular parallelotope R_{n+1} in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ inductively in the following manner. Let α_1 be the origin and α_2 be any point such that $|\alpha_2| = 2r$. Assume the rectangular parallelotope R_k with vertices $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{2^k}$ has been constructed and is contained in an affine k-plane L_k. Let γ_k be a vector normal to L_k such that $|\gamma_k| = 2\sqrt{2^k}r$. Set $\alpha_{2^k+i} = \alpha_i + \gamma_k$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 2^k$.*

Then the vertices of R_{n+1} are r-layered and translating R_{n+1}, we can assume that its vertices are contained in $\partial B(0, t)$ where $t = \sqrt{1 - r^2}$.

Proof. Let α_1 be the origin and α_2 be any point such that $|\alpha_2| = 2r$, and define l_1 to be the permutation of 1 and 2. Moreover, let L_1 be the line passing through α_1 and α_2. We construct the set inductively. Assume that for $1 \leq k \leq n$, we have constructed 2^k points $\{\alpha_l\}_{l=1}^{2^k}$, an affine k-plane L_k and an index set J_k such that:

- $\{\alpha_l\}_{l=1}^{2^k}$ are the vertices of a rectangular parallelotope R_k of edges $\{[\alpha_i\alpha_j]\}_{(i,j)\in J_k}$ contained in L_k
- The main diagonal of R_k has length $2\sqrt{2^k - 1}r$.

Let γ_k be a vector normal to L_k such that $|\gamma_k| = 2\sqrt{2^k}r$ and set $\alpha_{2^k+m} = \alpha_m + \gamma_k$ for $m = 1, \ldots, 2^k$.

We first define the action of the existing layering functions on the newly constructed points in the following manner: for $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2^k\}$, define

$$l_i(2^k + m) = 2^k + l_i(m), \text{ for } m \in \left\{1, 2, \ldots, 2^k\right\}. \tag{6.1}$$

Since $|\alpha_{2^k+l_i(m)} - \alpha_{2^k+m}| = |\alpha_m - \alpha_{l_i(m)}| = 2\sqrt{i}r$, then (4.14) from Definition 4.4 is satisfied. Moreover, ${l_i}^2(2^k + m) = l_i(2^k + l_i(m)) = 2^k + {l_i}^2(m) = 2^k + {l_i}^2(m)$. So $l_i^{-1} = l_i$.

We also claim that l_i is bijective on $\{1, 2, \ldots, 2^{k+1}\}$. Indeed, on one hand on $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ and

$$l_i\left(\left\{2^k + 1, 2^k + 2, \ldots, 2^{k+1}\right\}\right) \subset \left\{2^k + 1, 2^k + 2, \ldots, 2^{k+1}\right\}$$

,

On the other hand, for $m, m' \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2^k\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} l_i(2^k + m) = l_i(2^k + m') &\implies 2^k + l_i(m) = 2^k + l_i(m'), \\ &\implies m = m'. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that 1 and 2 from Definition 4.4 are satisfied. To see that 3 is satisfied, suppose there exists j, i and p such that $l_i(2^k + j) = l_p(2^k + j)$. Then $2^k + l_i(j) = 2^k + l_p(j)$ implying that $i = m$. Since l_i is bijective on $\{1, \ldots, 2^k\}$ by definition this proves the claim.

We now define the 2^k new layering functions. First define l_{2^k} in the following manner:

$$l_{2^k}(m) = \begin{cases} m + 2^k & \text{if } m \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2^k\} \\ m - 2^k & \text{if } m \in \{2^k + 1, 2^k + 2, \ldots, 2^{k+1}\} \end{cases}$$

Clearly, l_{2^k} is a permutation, and satisfies (2), (4) and (4.14) from Definition 4.4. We claim that l_{2^k} also satisfies 3. Indeed suppose that there existed $i < 2^k$ and j such that $l_{2^k}(j) = l_i(j)$. Then either $j \leq 2^k$ in which case $l_i(j) \leq 2^k$ and $l_{2^k}(j) > 2^k$ yielding a contradiction. Similarly, if $j > 2^k$, $l_i(j) > 2^k$ while $l_{2^k}(j) \leq 2^k$. This proves the claim.

If $i < 2^k$, the fact that $l_i|_J$ and $l_{2^k}|_J$ have disjoint ranges for $J = \left\{1, \ldots, 2^k\right\}$ or $J = \left\{2^k + 1, \ldots, 2^{k+1}\right\}$ proves that $l_i(j) \neq l_{2^k}(j)$ for all j.

We now define the remaining layering functions $\left\{l_{2^k+i}\right\}$ in the following manner:

$$l_{2^k+i}(m) = \begin{cases} 2^k + l_i(m) & \text{if } m \in \left\{1, 2, \ldots, 2^k\right\} \\ l_i^{-1}(m - 2^k) & \text{if } m \in \left\{2^k + 1, 2^k + 2, \ldots, 2^{k+1}\right\} \end{cases}$$

If $m, i \in \left\{1, 2, \ldots, 2^k\right\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha_m - \alpha_{2^k + l_i(m)}|^2 &= |\alpha_m - \alpha_{l_i(m)} - \gamma_k|^2, \\ &= |\alpha_m - \alpha_{l_i(m)}|^2 + |\gamma_k|^2, \text{ since } \gamma_k \perp (\alpha_m - \alpha_{l_i(m)}), \\ &= 4ir^2 + 4.2^k r^2, \\ &= 4(2^k + i)r^2. \end{aligned}$$

So (4.14) is satisfied. We claim that (3) is also satisfied. Indeed, on one hand, if $i < 2^k$, $p < 2^k$, the fact that $l_i(j) \neq l_{2^k+p}(j)$ for all j follows similarly as for l_{2^k} and l_i. On the other hand,

$$l_{2^k+i}(j) = l_{2^k}(j) \implies 2^k + l_i(j) = 2^k + j \implies j = 0.$$

It is easily seen that,

$$l_{2^k+i}\left(\left\{1, 2, \ldots, 2^k\right\}\right) = \left\{2^k + 1, 2^k + 2, \ldots, 2^{k+1}\right\}$$

and

$$l_{2^k+i}\left(\left\{2^k + 1, 2^k + 2, \ldots, 2^{k+1}\right\}\right) = \left\{1, 2, \ldots, 2^k\right\},$$

by definition of l_{2^k+i} and the bijectivity of l_i (and l_i^{-1}) on its domain. Therefore, l_{2^k+i} is bijective.

Finally for $j \leq 2^k$,

$$l_{2^k+i}{}^2(j) = l_{2^k+i}(2^k + l_i(j)) = 2^k + l_i{}^2(m) = 2^k + m.$$

A similar argument shows that $l_{2^k+i}{}^2(j) = j$ if $j > 2^k$. Therefore 4 is satisfied.

This proves that $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{2^{k+1}}\}$ is an r-layered set.

Note that $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^{2^{k+1}}$ are the vertices of a rectangular parallelotope contained in the $(k+1)$-affine space L_{k+1} spanned by L_k and γ_k. This parallelotope has R_k as one of its faces, all the edges of R_k, $\left\{[\alpha_{i+2^k}\alpha_{j+2^k}]\right\}_{(i,j)\in J_k}$ and $\left\{[\alpha_i\alpha_{i+2^k}]\right\}_{1\leq i\leq 2^k}$ as its edges. Moreover, the main diagonal of R_{k+1} has length $|\alpha_1 - \alpha_{2^{k+1}}| = 2\sqrt{2^{k+1} - 1}r$. By induction, repeating this process for $k = n$, we get 2^{n+1} points forming a rectangular parallelotope R_{n+1} in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with main diagonal having length $2\sqrt{2^{n+1} - 1}r = 2t$. This implies that R_{n+1} is inscribed in a sphere of radius t. By translating, we can assume that R_{n+1} is inscribed in $\partial B_t(0)$.

Finally, note that $|\alpha_i - \alpha_{l_{2^{n+1}-1}(i)}| = 2t$. Since α_i and $\alpha_{l_{2^{n+1}-1}(i)}$ are in $\partial B_t(0)$ they must be antipodal points. Therefore, $\alpha_i = -\alpha_{l_{2^{n+1}-1}(i)}$ □

This allows us to construct a locally 2-uniform measure in $\mathbb{R}^{n+4}$. More precisely,

Corollary 6.2. *Let $n \geq 1$, $r = \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$, $t = \sqrt{1-r^2}$, and $\{\alpha_1 \ldots, \alpha_{2^{n+1}}\}$ be an r-layered set as in Lemma 6.1, such that $|\alpha_j| = t$, for $j = 1, \ldots 2^{n+1}$. Define the points c_i in $\mathbb{R}^{n+4}$ to be*

$$c_i = (0, 0, 0, \alpha_i)$$

and the corresponding 2-spheres S_i as:

$$S_i = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{R}^{n+4}; z = (z_1, z_2, z_3, \alpha_i), {z_1}^2 + {z_2}^2 + {z_3}^2 = r^2. \right\} \tag{6.2}$$

In particular, for each i, $S_i \subset \mathbb{S}^{n+3}$. Let Ω be the set

$$\Omega = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{2^{n+1}} S_i \right), \tag{6.3}$$

and σ the measure

$$\sigma = \mathcal{H}^2 \llcorner \Omega. \tag{6.4}$$

Then for all $x \in \Omega$, for $r \leq 2$, we have:

$$\sigma(B(x, r)) = \pi r^2. \tag{6.5}$$

Proof. This follows directly from the fact that the c_j are r-layered and Theorem 4.5. □

Using Corollary 2.12 we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 6.3. *Let R_{n+1} be the parallelotope from Lemma 6.1, $n \geq 0$. For every $l = 1, \ldots, 2^{n+1}$ set the point $c_l \in \mathbb{R}^{n+4}$ to be:*

$$c_l = (0, 0, 0, \alpha_l). \tag{6.6}$$

Let V be a linear 3-plane in $\mathbb{R}^{n+4}$, S_l be the 2-sphere

$$S_l = (V + c_l) \cap \mathbb{S}^{n+3},$$

centered at c_l, Ω be the set

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{l=1}^{2^{n+1}} S_l, \tag{6.7}$$

and Σ be the set

$$\Sigma = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+4}; \frac{x}{|x|} \in \Omega \right\} \bigcup \{0\}. \tag{6.8}$$

Then $\nu = \mathcal{H}^3 \llcorner \Sigma$ is a 3-uniform measure and for any $x \in \Sigma$, $r > 0$,

$$\nu(B(x, r)) = \frac{4}{3}\pi r^3, \tag{6.9}$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Corollary 6.2 and Lemma 2.12. □

It is interesting to note however that these are not the only examples. To illustrate this, we give another example with less symmetry.

Example. Consider the graph whose adjacency matrix is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 & 5 & 2 & 4 & 7 & 6 \\ 2 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 6 & 7 & 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 5 & 1 & 0 & 7 & 6 & 2 & 4 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 & 7 & 6 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 5 \\ 6 & 7 & 4 & 5 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 1 \\ 7 & 6 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 5 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{6.10}$$

One can easily verify that the entries d_{ij} of the matrix A give an edge coloring of K_8. Moreover the matrix 7Δ , where Δ is as in Definition 5.3, is given by:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 7 & 5 & 3 & 1 & -1 & -3 & -5 & -7 \\ 5 & 7 & 1 & -3 & 3 & -1 & -7 & -5 \\ 3 & 1 & 7 & 5 & -5 & -7 & -1 & -3 \\ 1 & -3 & 5 & 7 & -7 & -5 & 3 & -1 \\ -1 & -3 & -5 & -7 & 7 & 5 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & -1 & -7 & -5 & 5 & 7 & 1 & -3 \\ -5 & -7 & -1 & -3 & 3 & 1 & 7 & 5 \\ -7 & -5 & 3 & -1 & 1 & -3 & 5 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \tag{6.11}$$

which has 4 positive eigenvalues and 4 null eigenvalues. This means that there exist points $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^8$ with prescribed distances $|\xi_i - \xi_j| = \sqrt{\frac{d_{ij}}{2}}$, embedded in the sphere $t\mathbb{S}^3$ where $t = \sqrt{\frac{7}{8}}$, which are well $\frac{1}{\sqrt{8}}$-layered. This configuration is composed of the tetrahedron given by $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^4$ and its antipode on $t\mathbb{S}^3$. Of course, one can construct a 3-uniform measure in $\mathbb{R}^7$ using these points as in Theorem 4.5.

References

[B] Blumenthal L.M. *Theory and Application of Distance Geometry*, Chelsea Publishing Company, 1970

[C] Chung F.R.K *Spectral Graph Theory*, American Mathematical Society, 1997

[HJ] Horn R. and Johnson C. *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1985

[KiP] Kirchheim B. and Preiss D. *Uniformly Distributed Measures in Euclidean Spaces*, Math. Scand. 90 (2002), 152-160.

[KoP] Kowalski O. and Preiss D. *Besicovitch-type properties of measures and submanifolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 379 (1987), 115-151.

[L] Lojasciewicz, S. *Sur le problème de la division*, Studia Math. 18 (1959), 87-136.

[N] Nimer, A.D. *A Sharp Bound on the Hausdorff Dimension of the Singular Set of a Uniform Measure*, pre-print

[P] Preiss, D. *Measures in R^n: distribution, rectifiability, and densities*, Ann. of Math. 125 (1987), 537-643

[Si] Simon, L. *Lectures on Geometric Measure Theory*, Center for Mathematical Analysis, Australian National University, v.3 (1984)

[Sp] Spivak, M. *A Comprehensive Introduction to Differential Geometry, Vol. 4*, Publish or Peril, 2005

[T] Tolsa, X. *Uniform Measures and Uniform Rectifiability*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 92 (2015) 1-18